



CAMPTO[®]

(Irinotecan hydrochloride)

1. NAME OF THE MEDICINAL PRODUCT

CAMPTO[®]

2. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE COMPOSITION

Active ingredient: irinotecan hydrochloride

Each milliliter (mL) of sterile solution contains 20 mg of irinotecan hydrochloride (on the basis of the trihydrate salt).

3. PHARMACEUTICAL FORM

Irinotecan sterile solution is a pale yellow, clear, aqueous solution requiring dilution for intravenous administration.

4. CLINICAL PARTICULARS

4.1. THERAPEUTIC INDICATIONS

CAMPTO[®] is indicated for single-agent or combination treatment of patients with:

- Metastatic carcinoma of the colon or rectum that has recurred or progressed following 5-fluorouracil (5-FU)-based therapy^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15}
- Previously untreated metastatic carcinoma of the colon or rectum^{16,17,18,19,20,21}
- Non-small-cell lung cancer^{22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35}
- Small-cell lung cancer^{36,37,38,39,40}
- Cervical cancer^{41,42,43,44,45,46,47,48}
- Ovarian cancer^{49,50,51,52,53,54}
- Inoperable or recurrent gastric cancer^{55,56,57,58,59,60,61,62,63,64,65}
- Esophageal cancer^{61,66,67}

According to CDS V 15 dated: September 15, 2019; Supersedes CDS V 14 dated: July 19, 2018

CAMPTO[®] in combination with cetuximab is indicated for the treatment of patients with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-expressing, KRAS wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer, who had not received prior treatment for metastatic disease^{198,199} or after failure of irinotecan-including cytotoxic therapy (see Section **5.1 Pharmacodynamic Properties**).¹⁸¹

CAMPTO[®] in combination with 5-FU, folinic acid (FA) and bevacizumab is indicated for first-line treatment of patients with metastatic carcinoma of the colon or rectum (see Section **5.1 Pharmacodynamic Properties**).¹⁸⁹

CAMPTO[®] in combination with capecitabine with or without bevacizumab is indicated for first-line treatment of patients with metastatic colorectal carcinoma (see Section **5.1 Pharmacodynamic Properties**).^{199,200,201}

CAMPTO[®] is indicated for single-agent treatment of patients with:

- Inoperable or recurrent breast cancer^{68,69,70}
- Squamous cell carcinoma of skin^{71,72}
- Malignant melanoma^{71,72}
- Malignant lymphoma^{73,74,75}
- Pancreatic cancer^{76,77}
- Glioma⁷⁸

4.2. POSOLOGY AND METHOD OF ADMINISTRATION

All doses of irinotecan should be administered as an intravenous infusion over 30 to 90 minutes.

Single-agent dosage schedules

Single-agent dosage schedules have been extensively studied for metastatic colorectal cancer. These regimens may be used in the treatment of patients with other indicated cancers (see Section **4.1 Therapeutic Indications**).

Starting dose

Weekly Dosage Schedule. The recommended single-agent starting dose of irinotecan is 125 mg/m².^{1,2,3} A lower starting dose may be considered (e.g., 100 mg/m²) for patients with any of the following conditions: prior extensive radiotherapy, performance status of 2, increased bilirubin levels, or gastric cancer. Treatment should be given in repeated 6-week cycles, comprising weekly treatment for 4 weeks, followed by a 2-week rest.

Once-Every-2-Week Dosage Schedule. The usual recommended starting dose of irinotecan is 250 mg/m² every 2 weeks by intravenous infusion.^{9,15} A lower starting dose may be considered (e.g., 200 mg/m²) for patients with any of the following conditions: age 65 years and older, prior extensive radiotherapy, performance status of 2, increased bilirubin levels, or gastric cancer.

According to CDS V 15 dated: September 15, 2019; Supersedes CDS V 14 dated: July 19, 2018

Once-Every-3-Week Dosage Schedule. The usual recommended starting dose of irinotecan for the once-every-3-week dosage schedule is 350 mg/m².^{4,6,7,8} A lower starting dose may be considered (e.g., 300 mg/m²) for patients with any of the following conditions: age 65 years and older, prior extensive radiotherapy, performance status of 2, increased bilirubin levels, or gastric cancer.^{11,62}

Special populations

Patients with Impaired Hepatic Function

In patients with hepatic dysfunction, the following starting doses are recommended:

Serum Total Bilirubin Concentration	Serum ALT/AST Concentration	Starting Dose, mg/m ²
1.5-3.0 x IULN	≤5.0 x IULN	60
3.1-5.0 x IULN	≤5.0 x IULN	50
<1.5 x IULN	5.1-20.0 x IULN	60
1.5-5.0 x IULN	5.1-20.0 x IULN	40

Serum Total Bilirubin Concentration	Starting Dose, mg/m ²
1.5-3.0 x IULN	200
>3.0 x IULN	Not Recommended ^a

^a The safety and pharmacokinetics of irinotecan given once-every-3-weeks have not been defined in patients with bilirubin >3.0 x institutional upper limit of normal (IULN) and this schedule cannot be recommended in these patients.

Patients with Impaired Renal Function

Studies in this population have not been conducted (see Section 5.2 **Pharmacokinetic Properties**). Therefore, caution should be undertaken in patients with impaired renal function. Irinotecan is not recommended for use in patients on dialysis.

Combination-agent dosage schedules

Starting dose

Irinotecan in Combination with 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) and Leucovorin in every 2 weeks Schedule. Irinotecan in combination with 5-FU and leucovorin is recommended for use in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. For all regimens, the dose of leucovorin should be administered immediately after irinotecan, with the administration of 5-FU to occur immediately after receipt of leucovorin. The currently recommended regimens are shown below:

Regimen 1 (6-week cycle with bolus 5-FU/LV): The recommended starting dose is 125 mg/m² of irinotecan, 500 mg/m² bolus 5-FU, and 20 mg/m² bolus leucovorin.^{7,8,16,90}

Regimen 2 (6-week cycle with infusional 5-FU/LV): The recommended starting dose is 180 mg/m² of irinotecan, 400 mg/m² bolus 5-FU, 600 mg/m² 5-FU infusion, and 200 mg/m² leucovorin.²¹

Lower starting doses may be considered for irinotecan (e.g., 100 mg/m²) and 5-FU (e.g., 400 mg/m²) for patients with any of the following conditions: age 65 years and older, prior extensive radiotherapy, performance status of 2, increased bilirubin levels, or gastric cancer. Treatment should be given in repeated 6-week cycles, comprising weekly treatment for 4 weeks, followed by a 2-week rest.

Irinotecan in Combination with Cisplatin. Irinotecan has been studied in combination with cisplatin for non-small cell and small cell lung cancer, cervical cancer, gastric cancer, and esophageal cancer. This regimen may be used in the treatment of patients with other indicated cancers, except for colorectal cancer (see Section **4.1 Therapeutic Indications**).

The recommended starting dose is 65 mg/m² of irinotecan and 30 mg/m² of cisplatin.^{27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,39,46,48,51,52,58,59,60,63,66,67,82,91} A lower starting dose of irinotecan (e.g., 50 mg/m²) may be considered for patients with any of the following conditions: age 65 years and older, prior extensive radiotherapy, performance status of 2, increased bilirubin levels, or gastric cancer. Treatment should be given in repeated 6-week cycles, comprising weekly treatment for 4 weeks, followed by a 2-week rest.

Irinotecan in Combination with Cetuximab. For the posology and method of administration of concomitant cetuximab, refer to the full prescribing information for cetuximab. Normally, the same dose of irinotecan is used as administered in the last cycles of the prior irinotecan-containing regimen. Irinotecan must not be administered earlier than 1 hour after the end of the cetuximab infusion.¹⁸¹

Irinotecan in Combination with Bevacizumab. For the posology and method of administration of bevacizumab, refer to the full prescribing information for bevacizumab. Bevacizumab is recommended in combination with irinotecan (125 mg/m²)/bolus 5-FU (500 mg/m²)/folinic acid (20 mg/m²) given once weekly for 4 weeks every 6 weeks.¹⁸⁹

Irinotecan in Combination with Capecitabine. For the posology and method of administration of capecitabine, see **Section 5.1 Pharmacodynamic Properties** and refer to the full prescribing information for capecitabine. Capecitabine is recommended in combination with irinotecan at a starting dose of 1000 mg/m² for 2 weeks every 3 weeks.^{199,200}

Duration of treatment

For both single-agent and combination-agent regimens, treatment with additional cycles of irinotecan may be continued indefinitely in patients who attain a tumor response or in patients whose cancer remains stable. Patients should be carefully monitored for toxicity and should be removed from therapy if unacceptable toxicity occurs that is not responsive to dose modification and routine supportive care.

Dose modification recommendations

The recommended dose modifications during a cycle of therapy and at the start of each subsequent cycle of therapy for single-agent dosage schedules are described in **Table 3**.^{1,2,3,7,8} These recommendations are based on toxicities commonly observed with the administration of irinotecan. For modifications at the start of a subsequent cycle of therapy, the dose of irinotecan should be decreased relative to the initial dose of the previous cycle.

According to CDS V 15 dated: September 15, 2019; Supersedes CDS V 14 dated: July 19, 2018

The recommended dose modifications during a cycle of therapy and at the start of each subsequent cycle of therapy for irinotecan, 5-FU, and leucovorin are described in [Table 4](#).^{20,21}

The recommended dose modifications for irinotecan and cisplatin for the start of each cycle of therapy are described in [Table 5](#), while recommended dose modifications during a cycle of therapy are described in [Table 6](#).^{66,91}

Recommendations for dose modifications of cetuximab when administered in combination with irinotecan must be followed according to the full prescribing information for cetuximab.¹⁸¹

Refer to the full prescribing information for bevacizumab for dose modifications of bevacizumab when administered in combination with irinotecan/5-FU/FA.

In combination with capecitabine for patients 65 years of age or more, a reduction of the starting dose of capecitabine to 800 mg/m² twice daily is recommended according to the full prescribing information for capecitabine.^{199,200,201} Refer also to the recommendations for dose modifications in combination regimen given in the full prescribing information for capecitabine.

All dose modifications should be based on the worst preceding toxicity. A new cycle of therapy should not begin until the toxicity has recovered to Grade 2 or less. Treatment may be delayed 1 to 2 weeks to allow for recovery from treatment-related toxicity. If the patient has not recovered, consideration should be given to discontinuing irinotecan.

Table 3. Recommended Dose Modifications For Single-Agent Schedules			
A new cycle of therapy should not begin until the granulocyte count has recovered to $\geq 1500/\text{mm}^3$, and the platelet count has recovered to $\geq 100,000/\text{mm}^3$, and treatment-related diarrhea is fully resolved. Treatment should be delayed 1 to 2 weeks to allow for recovery from treatment-related toxicities. If the patient has not recovered after a 2-week delay, consideration should be given to discontinuing irinotecan.			
Toxicity NCI Grade ^b (Value)	During a Cycle of Therapy	At the Start of the Next Cycle of Therapy (After Adequate Recovery), Compared with the Starting Dose in the Previous Cycle ^a	
	Weekly	Weekly	Once Every 2 or 3 Week
No toxicity	Maintain dose level	$\uparrow 25 \text{ mg/m}^2$ up to a maximum dose of 150 mg/m^2	Maintain dose level
Neutropenia 1 (1500 to 1999/ mm^3) 2 (1000 to 1499/ mm^3) 3 (500 to 999/ mm^3) 4 (<500/ mm^3)	Maintain dose level $\downarrow 25 \text{ mg/m}^2$ Omit dose, then $\downarrow 25 \text{ mg/m}^2$ when resolved to \leq Grade 2 Omit dose, then $\downarrow 50 \text{ mg/m}^2$ when resolved to \leq Grade 2	Maintain dose level Maintain dose level $\downarrow 25 \text{ mg/m}^2$ $\downarrow 50 \text{ mg/m}^2$	Maintain dose level Maintain dose level $\downarrow 50 \text{ mg/m}^2$ $\downarrow 50 \text{ mg/m}^2$
Neutropenic fever (Grade 4 neutropenia & \geq Grade 2 fever)	Omit dose, then $\downarrow 50 \text{ mg/m}^2$ when resolved	$\downarrow 50 \text{ mg/m}^2$	$\downarrow 50 \text{ mg/m}^2$
Other hematologic toxicities	Dose modifications for leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, and anemia during a cycle of therapy and at the start of subsequent cycles of therapy are also based on NCI toxicity criteria and are the same as recommended for neutropenia above.		
Diarrhea 1 (2-3 stools/day > pretx ^c) 2 (4-6 stools/day > pretx ^c) 3 (7-9 stools/day > pretx ^c) 4 (≥ 10 stools/day > pretx ^c)	Maintain dose level $\downarrow 25 \text{ mg/m}^2$ Omit dose, then $\downarrow 25 \text{ mg/m}^2$ when resolved to \leq Grade 2 Omit dose, then $\downarrow 50 \text{ mg/m}^2$ when resolved to \leq Grade 2	Maintain dose level Maintain dose level $\downarrow 25 \text{ mg/m}^2$ $\downarrow 50 \text{ mg/m}^2$	Maintain dose level Maintain dose level $\downarrow 50 \text{ mg/m}^2$ $\downarrow 50 \text{ mg/m}^2$
Other nonhematologic toxicities ^d 1 2 3 4	Maintain dose level $\downarrow 25 \text{ mg/m}^2$ Omit dose, then $\downarrow 25 \text{ mg/m}^2$ when resolved to \leq Grade 2 Omit dose, then $\downarrow 50 \text{ mg/m}^2$ when resolved to \leq Grade 2	Maintain dose level $\downarrow 25 \text{ mg/m}^2$ $\downarrow 25 \text{ mg/m}^2$ $\downarrow 50 \text{ mg/m}^2$	Maintain dose level $\downarrow 50 \text{ mg/m}^2$ $\downarrow 50 \text{ mg/m}^2$ $\downarrow 50 \text{ mg/m}^2$
^a All dose modifications should be based on the worst preceding toxicity			
^b National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria			
^c Pretreatment			
^d Excludes alopecia, anorexia, asthenia			

Table 4. Recommended Dose Modifications for Irinotecan/5-Fluorouracil/Leucovorin Combination Schedules		
Patients should return to pre-treatment bowel function without requiring antidiarrhea medications for at least 24 hours before the next chemotherapy administration. A new cycle of therapy should not begin until the granulocyte count has recovered to $\geq 1500/\text{mm}^3$, and the platelet count has recovered to $\geq 100,000/\text{mm}^3$, and treatment-related diarrhea is fully resolved. Treatment should be delayed 1 to 2 weeks to allow for recovery from treatment-related toxicities. If the patient has not recovered after a 2-week delay, consideration should be given to discontinuing irinotecan.		
Toxicity NCI Grade^b (Value)	During a Cycle of Therapy	At the Start of Subsequent Cycles of Therapy
No toxicity	Maintain dose level	Maintain dose level
Neutropenia		
1 (1500 to 1999/ mm^3)	Maintain dose level ^c	Maintain dose level ^c
2 (1000 to 1499/ mm^3)	↓ 1 dose level ^d	Maintain dose level
3 (500 to 999/ mm^3)	Omit dose, then ↓ 1 dose level when resolved to \leq Grade 2	↓ 1 dose level ^d
4 ($<500/\text{mm}^3$)	Omit dose, then ↓ 2 dose levels when resolved to \leq Grade 2 ^d	↓ 2 dose levels
Neutropenic fever (Grade 4 neutropenia & \geq Grade 2 fever)	Omit dose, then ↓ 2 dose levels when resolved	↓ 2 dose levels
Other hematologic toxicities	Dose modifications for leukopenia or thrombocytopenia during a cycle of therapy and at the start of subsequent cycles of therapy are also based on NCI toxicity criteria and are the same as recommended for neutropenia above.	

Table 4. Recommended Dose Modifications for Irinotecan/5-Fluorouracil/Leucovorin Combination Schedules		
Patients should return to pre-treatment bowel function without requiring antidiarrhea medications for at least 24 hours before the next chemotherapy administration. A new cycle of therapy should not begin until the granulocyte count has recovered to $\geq 1500/\text{mm}^3$, and the platelet count has recovered to $\geq 100,000/\text{mm}^3$, and treatment-related diarrhea is fully resolved. Treatment should be delayed 1 to 2 weeks to allow for recovery from treatment-related toxicities. If the patient has not recovered after a 2-week delay, consideration should be given to discontinuing irinotecan.		
Toxicity NCI Grade^b (Value)	During a Cycle of Therapy	At the Start of Subsequent Cycles of Therapy
Diarrhea		
1 (2-3 stools/day > pretx ^c)	Delay dose until resolved to baseline (bsl), then give same dose	Maintain dose level
2 (4-6 stools/day > pretx)	Omit dose, then ↓ 1 dose level when resolved to bsl	Maintain dose level
3 (7-9 stools/day > pretx)	Omit dose, then ↓ 1 dose level when resolved to bsl	↓ 1 dose level
4 (≥ 10 stools/day > pretx)	Omit dose, then ↓ 2 dose levels when resolved to bsl	↓ 2 dose levels
Other nonhematologic Toxicities ^f		
1	Maintain dose level	Maintain dose level
2	Omit dose, then ↓ 1 dose level when resolved to \leq Grade 1	Maintain dose level
3	Omit dose, then ↓ 1 dose level when resolved to \leq Grade 2	↓ 1 dose level
4	Omit dose, then ↓ 2 dose levels when resolved to \leq Grade 2	↓ 2 dose levels
	For mucositis/stomatitis decrease only 5-FU, not irinotecan ^g	For mucositis/stomatitis decrease only 5-FU, not irinotecan. ^g
a. Dose modification refers to irinotecan and 5-FU; LV dose remains fixed at 20 mg/m ² (not adjusted) b. National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria c. Refers to initial dose used in previous cycle d. Irinotecan: dose level reductions = 25 mg/m ² decrements; 5-Fluorouracil: dose level reductions = 100 mg/m ² decrements e. Pre-treatment f. Excludes alopecia, anorexia, asthenia g. For mucositis/stomatitis decrease only 5-FU, not irinotecan		

Table 5. Dose Modifications at the Start of a New Cycle of the Cisplatin and Irinotecan (mg/m²) Combination Schedule - Based on the Worst Toxicity Observed in the Prior Cycle		
A new cycle of therapy should not begin until the granulocyte count has recovered to $\geq 1500/\text{mm}^3$, and the platelet count has recovered to $\geq 100,000/\text{mm}^3$, and treatment-related diarrhea is fully resolved. Treatment should be delayed 1 to 2 weeks to allow for recovery from treatment-related toxicities. If the patient has not recovered after a 2-week delay, consideration should be given to discontinuing irinotecan.		
Toxicity NCI Grade^a	Cisplatin^b	Irinotecan^c
Hematologic		
Grade 0, 1, 2, or 3	Maintain dose level	Maintain dose level
Grade 4	↓ 1 dose level	↓ 1 dose level
Febrile neutropenia, ^d sepsis, thrombocytopenia requiring transfusion	↓ 1 dose level	↓ 1 dose level
Non-Hematologic		

Table 5. Dose Modifications at the Start of a New Cycle of the Cisplatin and Irinotecan (mg/m²) Combination Schedule - Based on the Worst Toxicity Observed in the Prior Cycle		
A new cycle of therapy should not begin until the granulocyte count has recovered to $\geq 1500/\text{mm}^3$, and the platelet count has recovered to $\geq 100,000/\text{mm}^3$, and treatment-related diarrhea is fully resolved. Treatment should be delayed 1 to 2 weeks to allow for recovery from treatment-related toxicities. If the patient has not recovered after a 2-week delay, consideration should be given to discontinuing irinotecan.		
Toxicity NCI Grade^a	Cisplatin^b	Irinotecan^c
Diarrhea		
Grade 0, 1 or 2	Maintain dose level	Maintain dose level
Grade 3 or 4	Maintain dose level	↓ 1 dose level
Vomiting		
Grade 0, 1 or 2	Maintain dose level	Maintain dose level
Grade 3	↓ 1 dose level	Maintain dose level
Grade 4	↓ 1 dose level	↓ 1 dose level
Serum Creatinine		
<1.5 mg/dL	Maintain dose level	Maintain dose level
1.5-2.0 mg/dL	↓ 2 dose levels	Maintain dose level
>2.0 mg/dL	Omit dose	Maintain dose level
Ototoxicity		
Grade 0 or 1	Maintain dose level	Maintain dose level
Grade 2	↓ 1 dose level	Maintain dose level
Grade 3 or 4	Discontinue cisplatin	Maintain dose level
Neurotoxicity		
Grade 0 or 1	Maintain dose level	Maintain dose level
Grade 2	↓ 1 dose level	Maintain dose level
Grade 3 or 4	Discontinue cisplatin	Maintain dose level
Other Non-Hematologic Toxicities		
Grade 0, 1 or 2	Maintain dose level	Maintain dose level
Grade 3 or 4	↓ 1 dose level	↓ 1 dose level

Table 5. Dose Modifications at the Start of a New Cycle of the Cisplatin and Irinotecan (mg/m²) Combination Schedule - Based on the Worst Toxicity Observed in the Prior Cycle		
A new cycle of therapy should not begin until the granulocyte count has recovered to $\geq 1500/\text{mm}^3$, and the platelet count has recovered to $\geq 100,000/\text{mm}^3$, and treatment-related diarrhea is fully resolved. Treatment should be delayed 1 to 2 weeks to allow for recovery from treatment-related toxicities. If the patient has not recovered after a 2-week delay, consideration should be given to discontinuing irinotecan.		
Toxicity NCI Grade^a	Cisplatin^b	Irinotecan^c
^a National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria ^b Cisplatin: dose level reductions = 7.5 mg/m ² decrements ^c Irinotecan: dose level reductions = 10 mg/m ² decrements ^d Febrile neutropenia is defined as in CTC version 2: temperature $\geq 38.5^\circ\text{C}$ concomitant with an ANC $< 1.0 \times 10^9/\text{L}$		

Table 6. Dose Modifications During a Cycle of the Cisplatin and Irinotecan (mg/m²) Combination Schedule Based on Worst Toxicity Observed Since the Start of Cycle		
Toxicity NCI Grade^a	Cisplatin^b	Irinotecan^c
Hematologic		
Grade 0 or 1	Maintain dose level	Maintain dose level
Grade 2	↓ 1 dose level	↓ 1 dose level
Grade 3	↓ 2 dose levels	↓ 2 dose levels
Grade 4	Omit dose	Omit dose
Febrile neutropenia, ^d sepsis, thrombocytopenia requiring transfusion	Omit dose	Omit dose
Non-Hematologic		
Diarrhea		
Grade 0 or 1	Maintain dose level	Maintain dose level
Grade 2	Maintain dose level	↓ 1 dose level
Grade 3	Maintain dose level	Omit dose
Grade 4	Omit dose	Omit dose
Vomiting		
Grade 0, 1 or 2	Maintain dose level	Maintain dose level
Grade 3	↓ 1 dose level	Maintain dose level
Grade 4	↓ 1 dose level	↓ 1 dose level
Serum Creatinine		
<1.5 mg/dL	Maintain dose level	Maintain dose level
1.5-2.0 mg/dL	↓ 2 dose levels	Maintain dose level
>2.0 mg/dL	Omit dose	Maintain dose level
Ototoxicity		
Grade 0 or 1	Maintain dose level	Maintain dose level
Grade 2	↓ 1 dose level	Maintain dose level
Grade 3 or 4	Discontinue cisplatin	Maintain dose level
Neurotoxicity		

According to CDS V 15 dated: September 15, 2019; Supersedes CDS V 14 dated: July 19, 2018

Table 6. Dose Modifications During a Cycle of the Cisplatin and Irinotecan (mg/m²) Combination Schedule Based on Worst Toxicity Observed Since the Start of Cycle		
Toxicity NCI Grade^a	Cisplatin^b	Irinotecan^c
Grade 0 or 1	Maintain dose level	Maintain dose level
Grade 2	↓ 1 dose level	Maintain dose level
Grade 3 or 4	Discontinue cisplatin	Maintain dose level
Other Non-Hematologic Toxicities		
Grade 0 or 1	Maintain dose level	Maintain dose level
Grade 2, 3 or 4	Omit dose	Omit dose
^a National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria ^b Cisplatin: dose level reductions = 7.5 mg/m ² decrements ^c Irinotecan: dose level reductions = 10 mg/m ² decrements ^d Febrile neutropenia is defined as in CTC version 2: temperature ≥38.5°C concomitant with an ANC <1.0 x 10 ⁹ /L		

4.3. CONTRAINDICATIONS

Irinotecan is contraindicated in patients with a known hypersensitivity to the drug or its excipients. (see Section 4.4 **Special Warnings and Precautions for Use**).

4.4. SPECIAL WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS FOR USE

Administration

Irinotecan should be administered only under the supervision of a physician who is experienced in the use of cancer chemotherapeutic agents. Appropriate management of complications is possible only when adequate diagnostic and treatment facilities are readily available.

Irinotecan will only be prescribed in the following cases after the expected benefits have been weighted against the possible therapeutic risks:

- in patients presenting a risk factor, particularly those with a WHO performance status = 2.^{7,8,80,81,83}
- in the few rare instances where patients are deemed unlikely to observe recommendations regarding management of adverse events (need for immediate and prolonged antidiarrheal treatment combined with high fluid intake at onset of delayed diarrhea). Strict hospital supervision is recommended for such patients.

Cholinergic symptoms

Patients may have cholinergic symptoms of rhinitis, increased salivation, miosis, lacrimation, diaphoresis, flushing (vasodilation), bradycardia, and intestinal hyperperistalsis that can cause abdominal cramping and early diarrhea (i.e., diarrhea generally occurring during or within 8 hours of administration of irinotecan). These symptoms may be observed during or shortly after infusion of irinotecan, are thought to be related to the anticholinesterase activity of the irinotecan parent compound, and are expected to occur more frequently with higher irinotecan doses. Therapeutic or prophylactic administration of 0.25 to

1 mg of intravenous or subcutaneous atropine should be considered (unless clinically contraindicated) in patients experiencing cholinergic symptoms.¹²

Extravasation

While irinotecan is not a known vesicant, care should be taken to avoid extravasation, and the infusion site should be monitored for signs of inflammation. Should extravasation occur, flushing the site, and application of ice is recommended.

Hepatic

In clinical studies, National Cancer Institute (NCI) Common Toxicity Criteria⁷⁹ Grade 3 or 4 liver enzyme abnormalities have been observed in fewer than 10% of patients. These events typically occur in patients with known hepatic metastases and are not clearly related to irinotecan.^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,18,19}

Hematology

Irinotecan commonly causes neutropenia, leukopenia, and anemia, any of which may be severe and therefore should not be used in patients with severe bone marrow failure.¹⁶² Serious thrombocytopenia is uncommon.^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,18,19} In clinical studies, the frequency of NCI Grade 3 and 4 neutropenia has been significantly higher in patients who received previous pelvic/abdominal irradiation than in those who had not received such irradiation.^{5,11} Patients with baseline serum total bilirubin levels of 1.0 mg/dL or more also have had a significantly greater likelihood of experiencing first-cycle Grade 3 or 4 neutropenia than those with bilirubin levels that were less than 1.0 mg/dL.^{5,10} There were no significant differences in the frequency of Grade 3 and 4 neutropenia by age or gender.^{5,14} (see Section **4.20 Posology and Method of Administration**).

Neutropenic fever (concurrent NCI Grade 4 neutropenia and \geq Grade 2 fever) occurred in fewer than 10% of patients in clinical studies;^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,18,19} however, deaths due to sepsis following severe neutropenia have been reported in patients treated with irinotecan. Neutropenic complications should be managed promptly with antibiotic support.^{80,81} Therapy with irinotecan should be temporarily discontinued if neutropenic fever occurs or if the absolute neutrophil count drops below 1000/mm³. The dose of irinotecan should be reduced if clinically significant neutropenia occurs (see Section **4.2 Posology and Method of Administration**).

Patients with reduced UGT1A1 activity

The metabolic conversion of irinotecan to the active metabolite SN-38 is mediated by carboxylesterase enzymes and primarily occurs in the liver. SN-38 subsequently undergoes conjugation to form the inactive glucuronide metabolite SN-38G. This glucuronidation reaction is mediated primarily by uridine diphosphate-glucuronosyl transferase 1A1 (UGT1A1), which is encoded by the UGT1A1 gene (see Section **5.2 Pharmacokinetic Properties**).²⁰⁵ The UGT1A1 gene is highly polymorphic, resulting in variable metabolic capacities among individuals. One specific variation of the UGT1A1 gene includes a polymorphism in the promoter region known as the UGT1A1 28 variant allele. This variant and other congenital deficiencies in UGT1A1 expression (such as Crigler-Najjar and Gilbert's syndrome) are associated with reduced enzyme activity and increased systemic exposure to SN-38. Higher plasma concentrations of SN-38 are observed in individuals who are homozygous for the UGT1A1*28 allele (also referred to as UGT1A1 7/7 genotype) versus patients who have one or two wild-type alleles.²⁰²

Data from a meta-analysis of nine studies involving a total of 821 patients indicate that individuals with Crigler-Najjar syndrome (types 1 and 2) or those who are homozygous for the UGT1A1*28 allele (Gilbert's syndrome) are at increased risk of hematological toxicity (Grades 3 and 4) following

administration of irinotecan at moderate or high doses (>150 mg/m²). A relationship between UGT1A1 genotype and the occurrence of irinotecan induced diarrhea was not established.²⁰²

Patients known to be homozygous for UGT1A1*28 should be administered the normally indicated irinotecan starting dose. However, these patients should be monitored for hematologic toxicities. A reduced irinotecan starting dose should be considered for patients who have experienced prior hematologic toxicity with previous treatment. The exact reduction in starting dose in this patient population has not been established and any subsequent dose modifications should be based on individual patient tolerance to treatment.²⁰²

Hypersensitivity reactions

Hypersensitivity reactions, including severe anaphylactic/anaphylactoid reactions, have been reported.^{82,163}

Immunosuppressant effects/increased susceptibility to infections

Administration of live or live-attenuated vaccines in patients immunocompromised by chemotherapeutic agents including irinotecan, may result in serious or fatal infections. Vaccination with a live vaccine should be avoided in patients receiving irinotecan. Killed or inactivated vaccines may be administered; however, the response to such vaccines may be diminished.^{190,191,192}

Late diarrhea

Late diarrhea (generally occurring more than 8 hours after administration of irinotecan¹²) can be prolonged, may lead to dehydration, electrolyte imbalance, or sepsis and may be life-threatening.^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,14,18,19,80,81} In the clinical studies testing the every 3-week-dosage schedule, the median time to the onset of late diarrhea was 5 days after irinotecan infusion.^{7,8} In the clinical studies evaluating the weekly dosage schedule, the median time to onset of late diarrhea was 11 days following administration of irinotecan.⁵ For patients starting treatment at the 125 mg/m² weekly dose, the median duration of any grade of late diarrhea was 3 days. Among those patients treated at the 125 mg/m² weekly dose who experienced Grade 3 or 4 late diarrhea, the median duration of the entire episode of diarrhea was 7 days. Results from a prospective study of the weekly dosage schedule did not demonstrate any difference in the rate of late onset diarrhea in patients ≥65 years of age than patients <65 years of age. However, patients ≥65 years of age should be closely monitored due to a greater risk of early diarrhea observed in this population.¹⁷⁹ Colonic ulceration, sometimes with bleeding, has been observed in association with irinotecan-induced diarrhea.

Late diarrhea should be treated promptly with loperamide at the first episode of poorly formed or loose stools or the earliest onset of bowel movements more frequent than normally expected for the patient.¹ The recommended dosage regimen for loperamide is 4 mg at the first onset of late diarrhea and then 2 mg every 2 hours until the patient is diarrhea-free for at least 12 hours. During the night, the patient may take 4 mg of loperamide every 4 hours. Loperamide is not recommended to be used for more than 48 consecutive hours at these doses, because of the risk of paralytic ileus, nor for less than 12 hours.¹⁶⁴ Premedication with loperamide is not recommended. Patients with diarrhea should be carefully monitored and given fluid and electrolyte replacement if they become dehydrated and should be given antibiotic support if they develop ileus, fever, or severe neutropenia.^{80,81} In addition to the antibiotic treatment, hospitalization is recommended for management of the diarrhea, in the following cases:

- Diarrhea associated with fever,
- Severe diarrhea (requiring intravenous hydration),

According to CDS V 15 dated: September 15, 2019; Supersedes CDS V 14 dated: July 19, 2018

- Patients with vomiting associated with delayed (i.e., late) diarrhea,
- Diarrhea persisting beyond 48 hours following the initiation of high-dose loperamide therapy.¹⁶⁵

After the first treatment, subsequent weekly chemotherapy treatments should be delayed in patients until return of pre-treatment bowel function for at least 24 hours without need for anti-diarrhea medication. If NCI Grade 2, 3, or 4 diarrhea occurs, subsequent doses of irinotecan should be reduced within the current cycle (see Section 4.2 **Posology and Method of Administration**).

Chronic inflammatory bowel disease and/or bowel obstruction

Patients must not be treated with irinotecan until resolution of the bowel obstruction.¹⁶⁶

Nausea & vomiting

Irinotecan is emetogenic.^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,14,18,19} Nausea and vomiting can be severe and usually occurs during or shortly after infusion of irinotecan. It is recommended that patients receive premedication with antiemetic agents. Antiemetic agents should be given on the day of treatment, starting at least 30 minutes before administration of irinotecan. Physicians should also consider providing patients with an antiemetic regimen for subsequent use as needed. Patients with vomiting associated with delayed (i.e., late) diarrhea should be hospitalized as soon as possible for treatment.

Neurologic

Dizziness has been observed and may sometimes represent symptomatic evidence of orthostatic hypotension in patients with dehydration.^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,14,18,19}

Renal

Increases in serum creatinine or blood urea nitrogen have been observed. There have been cases of acute renal failure. These events have generally been attributed to complications of infection or to dehydration related to nausea, vomiting, or diarrhea. Rare instances of renal dysfunction due to tumor lysis syndrome have also been reported.^{1,2,3,35,88}

Respiratory

NCI Grade 3 or 4 dyspnea has been observed. The extent to which malignant pulmonary involvement or other pre-existing lung disease may have contributed to dyspnea is unknown. A potentially life-threatening pulmonary syndrome, consisting of dyspnea, fever, and a reticulonodular pattern on chest x-ray, was observed in a small percentage of patients in early Japanese studies.²⁴ The contribution of irinotecan to these preliminary events was difficult to assess because these patients also had lung tumors and some had preexisting nonmalignant pulmonary disease.

Interstitial pulmonary disease presenting as pulmonary infiltrates is uncommon during irinotecan therapy.^{94,167} Interstitial pulmonary disease can be fatal. Risk factors possibly associated with the development of interstitial pulmonary disease include pre-existing lung disease, use of pneumotoxic drugs, radiation therapy, and colony stimulating factors. Patients with risk factors should be closely monitored for respiratory symptoms before and during irinotecan therapy.⁹⁴

Others

Since this product contains sorbitol, it is unsuitable in hereditary fructose intolerance.^{168,169,170}

Special populations

Pediatric

The effectiveness of irinotecan in pediatric patients has not been established (see Section **5.2 Pharmacokinetic Properties**). Results from two open-label, single arm studies were evaluated. One hundred and seventy children with refractory solid tumors were enrolled in one phase 2 trial in which 50 mg/m² of irinotecan was infused for 5 consecutive days every 3 weeks. Grade 3-4 neutropenia was experienced by 54 (31.8%) patients. Neutropenia was complicated by fever in 15 (8.8%) patients. Grade 3-4 diarrhea was observed in 35 (20.6%) patients. This adverse event profile was comparable to that observed in adults.⁹⁵

In the second phase 2 trial of 21 children with previously untreated rhabdomyosarcoma, 20 mg/m² of irinotecan was infused for 5 consecutive days on weeks 0, 1, 3, and 4. This single agent therapy was followed by multimodal therapy. Accrual to the single agent irinotecan phase was halted due to the high rate (28.6%) of progressive disease and the early deaths (14%). The adverse event profile was different in this study from that observed in adults; the most significant Grade 3 or 4 adverse events were dehydration experienced by 6 patients (28.6%) associated with severe hypokalaemia in 5 patients (23.8%) and hyponatremia in 3 patients (14.3%); in addition, Grade 3-4 infection was reported in 5 patients (23.8%) (across all courses of therapy and irrespective of causal relationship).⁹⁶

Geriatric

Specific dosing recommendations may apply to this population depending upon the regimen used (see Section **4.2 Posology and Method of Administration**).^{3,14}

Hepatic insufficiency

In patients with hyperbilirubinemia, the clearance of irinotecan is decreased (see Section **5.2 Pharmacokinetic Properties**) and therefore the risk of hematotoxicity is increased.^{162,171} The use of irinotecan in patients with a serum total bilirubin concentration of >3 .0 x institutional upper limit of normal (IULN) given as a single-agent on the once-every-3-weeks schedule has not been established (see Section **4.2 Posology and Method of Administration**).⁹³ Liver function should be monitored before initiation of treatment and monthly, or as clinically indicated.

Irradiation therapy

Patients who have previously received pelvic/abdominal irradiation are at increased risk of myelosuppression following the administration of irinotecan. Physicians should use caution in treating patients with extensive prior irradiation. Specific dosing recommendations may apply to this population depending upon the regimen used (see Section **4.2 Posology and Method of Administration**).

Performance status

Patients with poor performance status are at increased risk of irinotecan-related adverse events. Specific dosing recommendations for patients with an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 2 may apply depending upon the regimen used (see Section **4.2 Posology and Method of Administration**).^{7,8,83} Patients with performance status of 3 or 4 should not receive irinotecan. In patients receiving either irinotecan/5-FU/LV or 5-FU/LV in clinical trials comparing these agents, higher rates of hospitalisation, neutropenic fever, thromboembolism, first-cycle treatment discontinuation, and early deaths were observed in patients with a baseline performance status of 2 than in patients with a baseline performance status of 0 or 1.^{80,81}

Gastric cancer

Patients with gastric cancer appear to experience greater myelosuppression and other toxicities when given irinotecan. A lower starting dose should be considered in these patients (see Section **4.2 Posology and Method of Administration**).^{55,56,57,58,59,60,61,62,63,64,65}

4.5. INTERACTION WITH OTHER MEDICINAL PRODUCTS AND OTHER FORMS OF INTERACTION

CYP3A4 and/or UGT1A1 inhibitors

Irinotecan and active metabolite SN-38 are metabolized via the human cytochrome P450 3A4 isoenzyme (CYP3A4) and uridine diphosphate-glucuronosyl transferase 1A1 (UGT1A1) (see Section **5.2 Pharmacokinetic Properties**). Coadministration of irinotecan with inhibitors of CYP3A4 and/or UGT1A1 may result in increased systemic exposure to irinotecan and the active metabolite SN-38. Physicians should take this into consideration when administering irinotecan with these drugs.²⁰⁵

Ketoconazole

Irinotecan clearance is greatly reduced in patients receiving concomitant ketoconazole, leading to increased exposure to SN-38. Ketoconazole should be discontinued at least 1 week prior to starting irinotecan therapy and should not be administered during irinotecan therapy.^{103,104}

Atazanavir sulfate

Coadministration of atazanavir sulfate, a CYP3A4 and UGT1A1 inhibitor has the potential to increase systemic exposure to SN-38, the active metabolite of irinotecan. Physicians should take this into consideration when co-administering these drugs.¹⁰⁷

CYP3A4 inducers

Anticonvulsants

Concomitant administration of CYP3A-inducing anticonvulsant drugs (e.g., carbamazepine, phenobarbital or phenytoin) leads to reduced exposure to the active metabolite SN-38. Consideration should be given to starting or substituting non-enzyme-inducing anticonvulsants at least one week prior to initiation of irinotecan therapy in patients requiring anticonvulsant treatment.^{97,98,99,100,101,102,103}

St. John's Wort (*Hypericum perforatum*)

Exposure to the active metabolite SN-38 is reduced in patients taking concomitant St. John's Wort.¹⁹³ St. John's Wort should be discontinued at least 1 week prior to the first cycle of irinotecan, and should not be administered during irinotecan therapy.^{105,106}

Other interactions

Neuromuscular blocking agents

Interaction between irinotecan and neuromuscular blocking agents cannot be ruled out, since irinotecan has anticholinesterase activity. Drugs with anticholinesterase activity may prolong the neuromuscular blocking effects of suxamethonium and the neuromuscular blockade of non-depolarizing drugs may be antagonized.¹⁷²

Antineoplastic agents

The adverse effects of irinotecan, such as myelosuppression and diarrhea, would be expected to be exacerbated by other antineoplastic agents having a similar adverse-effect profile.

Dexamethasone

Lymphocytopenia has been reported in patients receiving irinotecan, and it is possible that the administration of dexamethasone as antiemetic prophylaxis may have enhanced the likelihood of lymphocytopenia. However, serious opportunistic infections have not been observed and no complications have specifically been attributed to lymphocytopenia.

Hyperglycemia has been observed in patients with a history of diabetes mellitus or evidence of glucose intolerance prior to administration of irinotecan. It is probable that dexamethasone, given as antiemetic prophylaxis, contributed to hyperglycemia in some patients.

Laxatives

Laxative use during therapy with irinotecan is expected to worsen the incidence or severity of diarrhea.

Diuretics

Dehydration secondary to vomiting and/or diarrhea may be induced by irinotecan. The physician may wish to withhold diuretics during dosing with irinotecan and during periods of active vomiting or diarrhea.

Bevacizumab

Results from a dedicated drug-drug interaction trial demonstrated no significant effect of bevacizumab on the pharmacokinetics of irinotecan and its active metabolite SN-38.^{203,204}

4.6. FERTILITY, PREGNANCY AND LACTATION

Pregnancy

There are no adequate and well-controlled studies of irinotecan in pregnant women. Irinotecan is teratogenic in rats and rabbits (see Section 5.3 Preclinical Safety Data).^{84,85} Irinotecan may cause fetal harm when administered to a pregnant woman.

Women of childbearing potential should not be started on irinotecan until pregnancy is excluded. Pregnancy should be avoided if either partner is receiving irinotecan.²⁰⁷

Due to the potential for genotoxicity, advise female patients of reproductive potential to use highly effective contraception during treatment and for 6 months after the last dose of irinotecan.²⁰⁷

Due to the potential for genotoxicity, advise male patients with female partners of reproductive potential to use effective contraception during treatment and for 3 months after the last dose of irinotecan.²⁰⁷

Lactation

The available data are limited to one patient only. Irinotecan and its active metabolite SN-38 were measured in the milk of one lactating patient.²⁰⁷ The effect on newborn/infants is unknown. Because of the potential for serious adverse reactions in nursing infants, it is recommended not to breastfeed when receiving therapy with irinotecan.

In rats, radioactivity appeared in the milk within 5 minutes of intravenous administration of radiolabeled irinotecan and was concentrated up to 65-fold at 4 hours after administration relative to plasma concentrations.⁸⁶

4.7. EFFECTS ON ABILITY TO DRIVE AND USE MACHINES

The effect of irinotecan on the ability to drive or use machinery has not been evaluated. However, patients should be warned about the potential for dizziness or visual disturbances which may occur within 24 hours following the administration of irinotecan, and advised not to drive or operate machinery if these symptoms occur (see Section 4.4 **Special Warnings and Precautions for Use**).^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,12,14,18,19}

4.8. UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS

Clinical studies

Adverse reaction data has been extensively collected and analyzed for the clinical studies program in metastatic colorectal cancer that recurred or progressed following 5-FU-based therapy (second-line) and are presented below (patient population described below). The adverse reactions for other indications are expected to be similar to those for second-line colorectal cancer.

Adverse reactions detailed in this section refer to irinotecan. There is no evidence that the safety profile of irinotecan is influenced by cetuximab or *vice versa*. In combination with cetuximab, additional reported adverse reactions were those expected with cetuximab (such as acneform rash). Therefore, also refer to the full prescribing information for cetuximab.¹⁸¹

Grade 3 hypertension was the principal significant risk involved with the addition of bevacizumab to bolus irinotecan/5-FU/FA.¹⁹⁴ In addition, there was a small increase in the Grade 3/4 chemotherapy adverse events of diarrhea and leukopenia with this regimen compared to patients receiving bolus irinotecan/5-FU/FA alone. For other information on adverse reactions in combination with bevacizumab, refer to the bevacizumab full prescribing information.¹⁸⁹

Adverse drug reactions reported in patients treated with the combination irinotecan plus capecitabine in addition to those seen with capecitabine monotherapy or seen at a higher frequency grouping with the combination compared to capecitabine monotherapy include:

Very common, all grade: thrombosis/embolism.^{199,200}

Common, all grade: hypersensitivity reaction, cardiac ischemia/infarction.^{199,200}

Common, Grade 3 and Grade 4: febrile neutropenia.^{199,200}

For complete information on adverse reactions of capecitabine, refer to the capecitabine full prescribing information.

Grade 3 and Grade 4 adverse drug reactions reported in patients treated with irinotecan in combination with capecitabine and bevacizumab in addition to those seen with capecitabine monotherapy or seen at a higher frequency grouping with the combination compared to capecitabine monotherapy include:

Common, Grade 3 and Grade 4: neutropenia, thrombosis/embolism, hypertension, and cardiac ischemia/infarction.^{199,201}

For complete information on adverse reactions of capecitabine and bevacizumab, refer to the respective capecitabine and bevacizumab full prescribing information.

Clinical studies of the 100- to 125-mg/m² single-agent weekly dosage schedule

The weekly dosage schedule of irinotecan was evaluated in three clinical studies of 304 patients with metastatic carcinoma of the colon or rectum that had recurred or progressed following 5-FU-based therapy.^{1,2,3} Five (1.6%) deaths were potentially drug-related. These five patients experienced a constellation of medical events (myelosuppression, neutropenic sepsis without fever, small bowel obstruction, fluid accumulation, stomatitis, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and dehydration) that are known effects of irinotecan. Neutropenic fever, defined as NCI Grade 4 neutropenia and Grade 2 or greater fever, occurred in nine other patients; these patients recovered with supportive care.

Eighty-one (26.6%) patients were hospitalized for events judged to be related to administration of irinotecan. The primary reasons for drug-related hospitalization were diarrhea, with or without nausea and/or vomiting; neutropenia/leukopenia, with or without diarrhea and/or fever; and nausea and/or vomiting.

Adjustments in the dose of irinotecan were made during the cycle of treatment and for subsequent cycles based on individual patient tolerance. The most common reasons for dose reduction were late diarrhea, neutropenia, and leukopenia. Thirteen (4.3%) patients discontinued treatment with irinotecan because of adverse events.

Clinical studies of the 300- to 350-mg/m² once-every-3-week single-agent dosage schedule

A total of 316 patients with metastatic colorectal cancer whose disease had progressed following prior 5-FU therapy received irinotecan in two studies involving once-every-3-week administration. Three (1%) deaths were potentially related to irinotecan treatment and were attributed to neutropenic infection, Grade 4 diarrhea, and asthenia, respectively. Hospitalizations due to serious adverse events, whether or not related to irinotecan administration, occurred at least once in 60% of patients who received irinotecan and, 8% of patients treated with irinotecan discontinued treatment due to adverse events.

Listing of adverse events

The drug-related adverse events (NCI Grades 1-4) as judged by the investigator that were reported in greater than 10% of the 304 patients enrolled in the three studies of the weekly dosage schedule are listed by body system in descending order of frequency in [Table 7](#).^{1,2,3,87}

Table 7. NCI Grade 1 to 4 Drug-Related Adverse Events Observed in over 10% of Patients in Clinical Studies	
Gastrointestinal disorders:	Late diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, early diarrhea, abdominal cramping/pain, anorexia, stomatitis
Blood and lymphatic system disorders:	Leukopenia, anemia, neutropenia
General disorders and administration site conditions:	Asthenia, fever
Metabolism and nutrition disorders:	Decreased weight, dehydration
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders:	Alopecia
Vascular disorders:	Thromboembolic events*
*Includes angina pectoris, arterial thrombosis, cerebral infarct, cerebrovascular accident, deep thrombophlebitis, embolus lower extremity, heart arrest, myocardial infarct, myocardial ischemia, peripheral vascular disorder, pulmonary embolus, sudden death, thrombophlebitis, thrombosis, vascular disorder.	

NCI Grade 3 or 4 adverse events reported in the clinical studies of the weekly and once-every-3-week-dosage schedules (N=620) are listed in [Table 8](#), [Table 9](#), and [Table 10](#).^{1,2,3,7,8,87}

Table 8. NCI Grade 3 or 4 Drug-Related Adverse Events Observed in over 10% of Patients in Clinical Studies	
Gastrointestinal disorders:	Late diarrhea, nausea, abdominal cramping/pain
Blood and lymphatic system disorders:	Leukopenia, neutropenia
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders:	Alopecia

Table 9. NCI Grade 3 or 4 Drug-Related Adverse Events Observed in 1% to 10% of Patients in Clinical Studies	
Infections and infestations:	Infection
Gastrointestinal disorders:	Vomiting, early diarrhea, constipation, anorexia, mucositis
Blood and lymphatic system disorders:	Anemia, thrombocytopenia
General disorders and administration site conditions:	Asthenia, fever, pain
Metabolism and nutrition disorders:	Dehydration, hypovolemia
Hepatobiliary disorders:	Bilirubinemia
Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders:	Dyspnea
Investigations:	Increased creatinine

Table 10. NCI Grade 3 or 4 Drug-Related Adverse Events Observed in Fewer than 1% of Patients in Clinical Studies	
Infections and infestations:	Sepsis
Gastrointestinal disorders:	Rectal disorder, GI monilia
General disorders and administration site conditions:	Chills, malaise
Metabolism and nutrition disorders:	Decreased weight, hypokalemia, hypomagnesemia
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders:	Rash, cutaneous signs
Nervous system disorders:	Abnormal gait, confusion, headache
Cardiac disorders:	Hypotension, syncope, cardiovascular disorders
Renal and urinary disorders:	Urinary tract infection
Reproductive system and breast disorders:	Breast pain
Investigations:	Increased alkaline phosphatase, increased GGTP

The following additional drug-related events have been reported in clinical studies with irinotecan, but do not meet the criteria as defined above as either >10% drug-related NCI Grades 1-4 or as a NCI Grade 3 or

According to CDS V 15 dated: September 15, 2019; Supersedes CDS V 14 dated: July 19, 2018

4 drug-related event: rhinitis, increased salivation, miosis, lacrimation, diaphoresis, flushing, bradycardia, dizziness, extravasation, tumor lysis syndrome, and colonic ulceration.

Post-marketing surveillance

Cardiac disorders

Myocardial ischemic events have been observed following irinotecan therapy predominantly in patients with underlying cardiac disease, other known risk factors for cardiac disease or previous cytotoxic chemotherapy (see also [Table 7](#), thromboembolic events).^{182,183}

Gastrointestinal disorders

Infrequent cases of intestinal obstruction, ileus, megacolon,¹⁸⁴ or gastrointestinal hemorrhage, and rare cases of colitis, including typhlitis, ischemic and ulcerative colitis¹⁷³ were reported. In some cases, colitis was complicated by ulceration, bleeding, ileus, or infection. Cases of ileus without preceding colitis have also been reported. Rare cases of intestinal perforation were reported.¹⁷⁴

Rare cases of symptomatic pancreatitis or asymptomatic elevated pancreatic enzymes have been observed.^{177,180}

Hypovolemia

There have been rare cases of renal impairment and acute renal failure, generally in patients who became infected and/or volume depleted from severe gastrointestinal toxicities.⁸⁸

Infrequent cases of renal insufficiency, hypotension or circulatory failure have been observed in patients who experienced episodes of dehydration associated with diarrhea and/or vomiting, or sepsis.¹⁷⁴

Infections and infestations

Bacterial, fungal and viral infections have been reported.²⁰⁶

Immune system disorders

Hypersensitivity reactions including severe anaphylactic or anaphylactoid reactions have been reported (see Section **4.4 Special Warnings and Precautions for Use**).^{82,163}

Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders

Early effects such as muscular contraction or cramps and paresthesia have been reported.¹⁷⁵

Nervous system disorders

Speech disorders, generally transient in nature, have been reported in patients treated with irinotecan; in some cases, the event was attributed to the cholinergic syndrome observed during or shortly after infusion of irinotecan.¹⁹⁷

Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders

Interstitial pulmonary disease presenting as pulmonary infiltrates is uncommon during irinotecan therapy.^{94,167} Early effects such as dyspnea have been reported (see Section **4.4 Special Warnings and Precautions for Use**).⁹⁴ Hiccups have also been reported.¹⁹⁵

According to CDS V 15 dated: September 15, 2019; Supersedes CDS V 14 dated: July 19, 2018

Investigations

Rare cases of hyponatremia mostly related with diarrhea and vomiting have been reported.¹⁰⁸ Increases in serum levels of transaminases (i.e., AST and ALT)^{162,176} in the absence of progressive liver metastasis have been very rarely reported.¹⁹⁶

4.9. OVERDOSE

Single doses of up to 750 mg/m² irinotecan have been given to patients with various cancers.⁸⁹ The adverse events in these patients were similar to those reported with the recommended dosages and regimens. There have been reports of overdosage at doses up to approximately twice the recommended therapeutic dose, which may be fatal. The most significant adverse reactions reported were severe neutropenia and severe diarrhea.¹⁷⁸ Maximum supportive care should be instituted to prevent dehydration due to diarrhea and to treat any infectious complications. There is no known antidote for overdosage of irinotecan.

5. PHARMACOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

5.1. PHARMACODYNAMIC PROPERTIES

Therapeutic class

Irinotecan hydrochloride is an antineoplastic agent of the topoisomerase I inhibitor class, clinically investigated as CPT-11. Irinotecan is a semisynthetic derivative of camptothecin, an alkaloid extract from plants such as *Camptotheca acuminata*,¹⁰⁹ or is chemically synthesized.¹⁸⁵

Mechanism of action

Irinotecan and its active metabolite SN-38 bind to the topoisomerase I – DNA complex and prevent re-ligation of these single-strand breaks.^{110,111} Current research suggests that the cytotoxicity of irinotecan is due to double-strand DNA damage produced during DNA synthesis when replication enzymes interact with the ternary complex formed by topoisomerase I, DNA, and either irinotecan or SN-38.^{112,113}

Irinotecan serves as a water-soluble precursor of the lipophilic metabolite SN-38.^{114,115} SN-38 is formed from irinotecan by carboxylesterase-mediated cleavage of the carbamate bond between the camptothecin moiety and the dipiperidino side chain.^{116,117,118} SN-38 is approximately 1000 times as potent as irinotecan as an inhibitor of topoisomerase I purified from human and rodent tumor cell lines.^{119,120,121} *In vitro* cytotoxicity assays show that the potency of SN-38 relative to irinotecan varies from 2- to 2000-fold.^{122,123,124,125,126,127,128} However, the plasma area under the concentration versus time curve (AUC) values for SN-38 are 2% to 8% of irinotecan and SN-38 is 95% bound to plasma proteins compared to approximately 50% bound to plasma proteins for irinotecan.^{129,130} The precise contribution of SN-38 to the activity of irinotecan is thus unknown. Both irinotecan and SN-38 exist in an active lactone form and an inactive hydroxy acid anion form.^{131,132,133} A pH-dependent equilibrium exists between the two forms such that an acid pH promotes the formation of the lactone, while a more basic pH favors the hydroxy acid anion form.

Clinical studies

In combination therapy for the first-line treatment of metastatic colorectal carcinoma

Irinotecan in Combination With Cetuximab in Treatment-naïve Patients:

EMR 62 202-013: This randomized study in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer who had not received prior treatment for metastatic disease compared the combination of cetuximab and irinotecan plus infusional 5-fluorouracil/folinic acid (5-FU/FA) (599 patients) to the same chemotherapy alone (599 patients). The proportion of patients with KRAS wild-type tumors from the patient population evaluable for KRAS status comprised 64%.^{198,199}

The efficacy data generated in this study are summarized in [Table 11](#) below:

Variable/statistic	Overall population		KRAS wild-type population	
	Cetuximab plus FOLFIRI (N=599)	FOLFIRI (N=599)	Cetuximab plus FOLFIRI (N=172)	FOLFIRI (N=176)
ORR % (95% CI)	46.9 (42.9, 51.0)	38.7 (34.8, 42.8)	59.3 (51.6, 66.7)	43.2 (35.8, 50.9)
p-value	0.0038		0.0025	
PFS				
HR (95% CI)	0.85 (0.726, 0.998)		0.68 (0.501, 0.934)	
p-value	0.0479		0.0167	

CI=confidence interval; FOLFIRI=irinotecan plus infusional 5-FU/FA; ORR=objective response rate (patients with complete response or partial response); PFS=progression-free survival time.

Irinotecan in Combination with Bevacizumab:

A phase III randomized, double-blind, active-controlled clinical trial evaluated bevacizumab in combination with irinotecan/5-FU/FA as first-line treatment for metastatic carcinoma of the colon or rectum (Study AVF2107g). The addition of bevacizumab to the combination of irinotecan/5-FU/FA resulted in a statistically significant increase in overall survival. The clinical benefit, as measured by overall survival, was seen in all pre-specified patient subgroups, including those defined by age, sex, performance status, location of primary tumor, number of organs involved, and duration of metastatic disease. Refer also to the bevacizumab full prescribing information. The efficacy results of Study AVF2107g are summarized in [Table 12](#) below.¹⁸⁹

	AVF2107g	
	Arm 1 irinotecan/5-FU/FA + Placebo	Arm 2 irinotecan/5-FU/FA + bevacizumab ^a
Number of Patients	411	402
Overall survival		
Median time (months)	15.6	20.3
95% CI	14.29 – 16.99	18.46 – 24.18
Hazard ratio ^b	-	0.660
p-value	-	0.00004
Progression-free survival		

According to CDS V 15 dated: September 15, 2019; Supersedes CDS V 14 dated: July 19, 2018

Median time (months)	6.2	10.6
Hazard ratio	-	0.54
p-value	-	<0.0001
Overall response rate		
Rate (%)	34.8	44.8
95% CI	30.2 – 39.6	39.9 – 49.8
p-value	-	0.0036
Duration of response		
Median time (months)	7.1	10.4
25–75 percentile (months)	4.7 – 11.8	6.7 – 15.0
^a 5 mg/kg every 2 weeks. ^b Relative to control arm. CI=confidence interval; 5-FU=5-fluorouracil; FA=folinic acid		

Irinotecan in Combination with Capecitabine:

Data from a randomized, controlled Phase III study (CAIRO) support the use of capecitabine at a starting dose of 1000 mg/m² for 2 weeks every 3 weeks in combination with irinotecan for the first-line treatment of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Eight hundred twenty (820) patients were randomized to receive either sequential treatment (n=410) or combination treatment (n=410). Sequential treatment consisted of first-line treatment with capecitabine (1250 mg/m² twice daily for 14 days), second-line irinotecan (350 mg/m² on day 1), and third-line combination of capecitabine (1000 mg/m² twice daily for 14 days) with oxaliplatin (130 mg/m² on day 1). Combination treatment consisted of first-line treatment of capecitabine (1000 mg/m² twice daily for 14 days) combined with irinotecan (250 mg/m² on day 1) (XELIRI) and second-line capecitabine (1000 mg/m² twice daily for 14 days) plus oxaliplatin (130 mg/m² on day 1). All treatment cycles were administered at intervals of 3 weeks. In first-line treatment the median progression-free survival in the intent-to-treat population was 5.8 months (95% CI, 5.1-6.2 months) for capecitabine monotherapy and 7.8 months (95% CI, 7.0-8.3 months) for XELIRI (p=0.0002).^{199,200}

Data from an interim analysis of a multicenter, randomized, controlled Phase II study (AIO KKK 0604) support the use of capecitabine at a starting dose of 800 mg/m² for 2 weeks every 3 weeks in combination with irinotecan and bevacizumab for the first-line treatment of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. One hundred fifteen (115) patients were randomized to treatment with capecitabine combined with irinotecan (XELIRI) and bevacizumab: capecitabine (800 mg/m² twice daily for 2 weeks followed by a 7-day rest period), irinotecan (200 mg/m² as a 30-minute infusion on day 1 every 3 weeks), and bevacizumab (7.5 mg/kg as a 30 to 90-minute infusion on day 1 every 3 weeks); a total of 118 patients were randomized to treatment with capecitabine combined with oxaliplatin plus bevacizumab: capecitabine (1000 mg/m² twice daily for 2 weeks followed by a 7-day rest period), oxaliplatin (130 mg/m² as a 2-hour infusion on day 1 every 3 weeks), and bevacizumab (7.5 mg/kg as a 30 to 90-minute infusion on day 1 every 3 weeks). Progression-free survival at 6 months in the intent-to-treat population was 80% (XELIRI plus bevacizumab) versus 74% (XELOX plus bevacizumab). Overall response rate (complete response plus partial response) was 45% (XELOX plus bevacizumab) versus 47% (XELIRI plus bevacizumab).^{199,201}

In combination therapy for the second-line treatment of metastatic colorectal carcinoma

According to CDS V 15 dated: September 15, 2019; Supersedes CDS V 14 dated: July 19, 2018

Irinotecan in Combination With Cetuximab After Failure of Irinotecan-including Cytotoxic Therapy:

The efficacy of the combination of cetuximab with irinotecan was investigated in two clinical studies. A total of 356 patients with EGFR-expressing metastatic colorectal cancer who had recently failed irinotecan-including cytotoxic therapy and who had a minimum Karnofsky performance status of 60, but the majority of whom had a Karnofsky performance status of ≥ 80 received the combination treatment.¹⁸¹

EMR 62 202-007: This randomized study compared the combination of cetuximab and irinotecan (218 patients) with cetuximab monotherapy (111 patients).¹⁸¹

IMCL CP02-9923: This single arm open-label study investigated the combination therapy in 138 patients.¹⁸¹

The efficacy data from these studies are summarized in [Table 13](#) below:¹⁸¹

Table 13. Efficacy Results from Studies EMR 62 202-007 and IMCL CP02-9923									
Study	N	ORR		DCR		PFS (months)		OS (months)	
		n (%)	95% CI	n (%)	95% CI	Median	95% CI	Median	95% CI
Cetuximab + irinotecan									
EMR 62 202-007	218	50 (22.9)	17.5, 29.1	121 (55.5)	48.6, 62.2	4.1	2.8, 4.3	8.6	7.6, 9.6
IMCL CP02-9923	138	21 (15.2)	9.7, 22.3	84 (60.9)	52.2, 69.1	2.9	2.6, 4.1	8.4	7.2, 10.3
Cetuximab									
EMR 62 202-007	111	12 (10.8)	5.7, 18.1	36 (32.4)	23.9, 42.0	1.5	1.4, 2.0	6.9	5.6, 9.1

CI=confidence interval; DCR=disease control rate (patients with complete response, partial response, or stable disease for at least 6 weeks); ORR=objective response rate (patients with complete response or partial response); OS=overall survival time; PFS=progression-free survival.

The efficacy of the combination of cetuximab with irinotecan was superior to that of cetuximab monotherapy, in terms of objective response rate (ORR), disease control rate (DCR) and progression-free survival (PFS). In the randomized trial, no effects on overall survival were demonstrated (hazard ratio 0.91, $p=0.48$).¹⁸¹

5.2. PHARMACOKINETIC PROPERTIES

Absorption and distribution

After intravenous infusion in humans, irinotecan plasma concentrations decline in a multiexponential manner, with a mean terminal elimination half-life of about 6 hours. The mean terminal elimination half-life of the active metabolite SN-38 is about 10 hours. The half-lives of the lactone (active) forms of irinotecan and SN-38 are similar to those of total irinotecan and SN-38, as the lactone and hydroxy acid forms are in equilibrium.^{130,134,135,136,137,138}

Over the dose range of 50 to 350 mg/m², the AUC of irinotecan increases linearly with dose; the AUC of SN-38 increases less than proportionally with dose. Maximum concentrations of the active metabolite

SN-38 are generally seen within 1 hour following the end of a 90-minute infusion of irinotecan.^{130,134,135,136,137,138}

Irinotecan exhibits moderate plasma protein binding (30% to 68% bound). SN-38 is highly bound to human plasma proteins (approximately 95% bound). The plasma protein to which irinotecan and SN-38 predominantly binds is albumin.^{129,130}

Metabolism & excretion

Irinotecan (CPT-11) is subject to extensive metabolic conversion by various enzyme systems, including esterases to form the active metabolite SN-38,^{114,115,116,117,118,205} and UGT1A1 mediating glucuronidation of SN-38 to form the inactive glucuronide metabolite SN-38G.^{134,139,202,205} Irinotecan (CPT-11) can also undergo CYP3A4-mediated oxidative metabolism to several pharmacologically inactive oxidation products, one of which can be hydrolyzed by carboxylesterase to release SN-38.²⁰⁵ UGT1A1 activity is reduced in individuals with genetic polymorphisms that lead to reduced enzyme activity such as the UGT1A1*28 polymorphism (see Section 4.4 Special Warnings and Precautions for Use).²⁰² SN-38 glucuronide had 1/50 to 1/100 the activity of SN-38 in cytotoxicity assays using two cell lines *in vitro*. The disposition of irinotecan has not been fully elucidated in humans. The urinary excretion of irinotecan is 11% to 20%; SN-38, <1%; and SN-38 glucuronide, 3%.^{130,134,135,136} The cumulative biliary and urinary excretion of irinotecan and its metabolites (SN-38 and SN-38 glucuronide) over a period of 48 hours following administration of irinotecan in two patients ranged from approximately 25% (100 mg/m²) to 50% (300 mg/m²).¹⁴⁰

Pharmacokinetics in special populations

Geriatric. The pharmacokinetics of irinotecan administered using the weekly schedule was evaluated in a study of 183 patients that was prospectively designed to investigate the effect of age on irinotecan toxicity. Results from this trial indicate that there are no differences in the pharmacokinetics of irinotecan, SN-38, and SN-38 glucuronide in patients <65 years of age compared with patients ≥65 years of age.¹⁷⁹ In a study of 162 patients that was not prospectively designed to investigate the effect of age, small (less than 18%) but statistically significant differences in dose-normalized irinotecan pharmacokinetic parameters in patients <65 years of age compared to patients ≥65 years of age were observed. Although dose-normalized AUC₀₋₂₄ for SN-38 in patients ≥65 years of age was 11% higher than in patients <65 years of age, this difference was not statistically significant.¹³⁸

Pediatric: See Section 4.4 Special Warnings and Precautions for Use.

The pharmacokinetics of irinotecan and its major metabolites in the pediatric population was investigated in clinical trials conducted in the US and Europe. Overall, results and general conclusions regarding irinotecan pharmacokinetics were comparable in the US and European studies. Any differences in the findings between these studies are probably attributable to differences in the doses investigated (20 to 200 mg/m² and 200 to 720 mg/m² in the US and European studies, respectively) and the marked inter-patient variability in values determined for the pharmacokinetic parameters of irinotecan and SN-38.¹⁸⁶

US studies

Pharmacokinetic parameters for irinotecan and SN-38 were determined in 2 pediatric solid-tumor trials at dose levels of 50 mg/m² (60-min infusion, n=48)⁹⁵ and 125 mg/m² (90-min infusion, n=6).¹⁴¹ Irinotecan clearance (mean ± S.D.) was 17.3 ± 6.7 L/h/m² for the 50 mg/m² dose and 16.2 ± 4.6 L/h/m² for the 125 mg/m² dose, which is somewhat greater than in adults. Minimal accumulation of irinotecan and SN-38 was observed in children on daily dosing regimens [daily x 5 every 3 weeks or (daily x 5) x 2 weeks every 3 weeks]. A finding that dose-normalized SN-38 AUC values were comparable between

According to CDS V 15 dated: September 15, 2019; Supersedes CDS V 14 dated: July 19, 2018

adults and children was inconsistent with the increase in irinotecan clearance seen in the pediatric population and was probably reflective of the marked inter-patient variability (%CV values for SN-38 AUC were 84 to 120%). Indeed SN-38 exposure in pediatric patients was approximately 30% lower than in adults when comparison was made without regard to the variability of the data.

European studies

The pharmacokinetics of irinotecan and its major metabolites was investigated in pediatric patients with solid tumors in a phase I study at dose levels of 200 to 720 mg/m² (2-hour infusion, n=77). Systemic exposure to irinotecan, SN-38, APC, and NPC was dose proportional. Pharmacokinetic parameters of irinotecan and its metabolites demonstrated marked inter-patient variability with values (mean ± S.D.) for irinotecan plasma clearance of 18 ± 8 L/h/m² and volume of distribution at steady state of 104 ± 84 L/m². Irinotecan clearance was 26% lower in adolescents than in children and dose normalized SN-38 and SN-38G exposures were 52% and 105% higher in adolescents than in children, respectively. Irinotecan clearance was higher and dose normalized values for SN-38, SN-38G and APC exposure were lower in the pediatric than in the adult population.^{186,187}

A population pharmacokinetic analysis of irinotecan was performed in 83 children and adolescents with relapsed or refractory rhabdomyosarcoma, primitive neuroectodermal tumor (PNET) including medulloblastoma or neuroblastoma receiving 600 mg/m² irinotecan as a 1-hour infusion once every 3 weeks as part of a phase II study. Mean values for irinotecan clearance and AUC demonstrated large inter- and intra-individual variability and were similar to those determined at the same dose in the European phase I pediatric study.^{186,188}

Gender: The pharmacokinetics of irinotecan do not appear to be influenced by gender.¹³⁸

Race: The influence of race on the pharmacokinetics of irinotecan has not been evaluated.

Hepatic Insufficiency: (see Section **4.2 Posology and Method of Administration**) Irinotecan clearance is diminished in patients with hepatic dysfunction while relative exposure to the active metabolite SN-38 is increased. The magnitude of these effects is proportional to the degree of liver impairment as measured by elevations in serum total bilirubin and transaminase concentrations.^{92,93}

Renal Insufficiency: The influence of renal insufficiency on the pharmacokinetics of irinotecan has not been evaluated (see Section **4.2 Posology and Method of Administration**).

5.3. PRECLINICAL SAFETY DATA

Toxicology

The acute intravenous toxicity of irinotecan in animals is shown below in [Table 14](#).^{142,143} Lethality was observed after single intravenous irinotecan doses of approximately 111 mg/kg in mice and 73 mg/kg in rats (approximately 2.6 and 3.4 times the recommended human dose of 125 mg/m², respectively). Death was preceded by cyanosis, tremors, respiratory distress, and convulsions. Subacute toxicity studies show that irinotecan affects tissues with rapid cell proliferation (bone marrow, intestinal epithelia, thymus, spleen, lymph nodes, and testes).^{144,145,146,147,148,149,150,151,152}

Table 14. Acute toxicity of irinotecan (IV) in animals	
Species	LD50 (mg/kg)

According to CDS V 15 dated: September 15, 2019; Supersedes CDS V 14 dated: July 19, 2018

Mouse	132-134
Rat	84-85
Dog	40-80

Carcinogenicity/Mutagenicity

Long-term carcinogenicity studies with irinotecan were not conducted. Rats were, however, administered intravenous doses of 2 mg/kg or 25 mg/kg irinotecan once per week for 13 weeks (in separate studies, the 25 mg/kg dose produced an irinotecan C_{max} and AUC that were about 7.0 times and 1.3 times the respective values in patients administered 125 mg/m²) and were then allowed to recover for 91 weeks. Under these conditions, there was a significant linear trend with dose for the incidence of combined uterine horn endometrial stromal polyps and endometrial stromal sarcomas.¹⁵³

Neither irinotecan nor SN-38 was mutagenic in the *in vitro* Ames assay.¹⁵⁴ However, in the *in vitro* Chinese hamster cell chromosomal aberration assay, irinotecan produced a significant increase in the incidence of chromosomal aberrations in a concentration-dependent manner.¹⁵⁵ Additionally, in the *in vivo* mouse micronucleus assay, a single intraperitoneal dose of irinotecan over the dosage range of 2.5 to 200 mg/kg caused a significant and dose-dependent increase in micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes and a decrease in the reticulocyte/erythrocyte ratio in bone marrow cells.¹⁵⁶

Reproduction

No significant adverse effects on fertility and general reproductive performance were observed after intravenous administration of irinotecan in doses of up to 6 mg/kg/day to rats.¹⁵⁷ However, atrophy of male reproductive organs was observed after multiple daily irinotecan doses both in rodents¹⁴⁴ at 20 mg/kg (which in separate studies produced an irinotecan C_{max} and AUC about 5 and 1 times, respectively, the corresponding values in patients administered 125 mg/m²) and dogs¹⁴⁹ at 0.4 mg/kg (which in separate studies produced an irinotecan C_{max} and AUC about one-half and 1/15th, respectively, the corresponding values in patients administered 125 mg/m²).

Radioactivity related to ¹⁴C-irinotecan crosses the placenta of rats following intravenous administration of 10 mg/kg¹⁵⁸ (which in separate studies produced an irinotecan C_{max} and AUC about 3 and 0.5 times, respectively, the corresponding values in patients administered 125 mg/m²). Irinotecan was teratogenic in rats at doses greater than 1.2 mg/kg/day¹⁵⁹ (which in separate studies produced an irinotecan C_{max} and AUC about 2/3 and 1/40th, respectively, of the corresponding values in patients administered 125 mg/m²) and in rabbits at 6 mg/kg/day¹⁶⁰ (about one-half the recommended weekly human dose on a mg/m² basis). Teratogenic effects included a variety of external, visceral, and skeletal abnormalities. Irinotecan administered to rat dams for the period following organogenesis through weaning at doses of 6 mg/kg/day caused decreased learning ability and decreased female body weights in the offspring.¹⁶¹

6. PHARMACEUTICAL PARTICULARS

6.1. LIST OF EXCIPIENTS

D – Sorbitol

Lactic acid

Sodium Hydroxide

Water for injection

6.2. INCOMPATIBILITIES

Other drugs should not be added to the infusion solution.

6.3. SHELF LIFE

The shelf-life of unopened vials is 36 months.

6.4. SPECIAL PRECAUTIONS FOR STORAGE

Diluted Admixtures. The solution is physically and chemically stable for up to 24 hours at room temperature and in ambient fluorescent lighting. Solutions diluted in 5% Dextrose Injection and stored at refrigerated temperature and protected from light are physically and chemically stable for 48 hours. Refrigeration of admixtures using 0.9% Sodium Chloride Injection is not recommended due to a low and sporadic incidence of visible particulates. Because of possible microbial contamination during dilution, it is advisable to use the admixture within 24 hours if refrigerated or within 6 hours if kept at room temperature. Freezing irinotecan vials or admixtures of irinotecan may result in precipitation of the drug and should be avoided.

6.5. NATURE AND CONTENTS OF CONTAINER

CAMPTO[®] 40 mg:

2 mL amber-coloured medical-grade polypropylene vial closed with a halobutyl rubber stopper and sealed with an aluminium crimp with a plastic flip-off top.

CAMPTO[®] 100 mg:

5 mL amber-coloured medical-grade polypropylene vial closed with a halobutyl rubber stopper and sealed with an aluminium crimp with a plastic flip-off top.

6.6. SPECIAL PRECAUTIONS FOR DISPOSAL AND OTHER HANDLING

Preparation

Irinotecan must be diluted prior to infusion in 5% Dextrose Injection, (preferred) or 0.9% Sodium Chloride Injection to a final concentration range of 0.12 to 2.8 mg/mL.

Handling

As with other potentially toxic anticancer agents, care should be exercised in the handling and preparation of infusion solutions prepared from irinotecan. The use of gloves is recommended. If irinotecan contacts the skin, wash the skin immediately and thoroughly with soap and water. If irinotecan contacts the mucous membranes, flush thoroughly with water.

Parenteral drug products should be inspected visually for particulate matter and discoloration prior to administration whenever solution and container permit. Inspect vial contents for particulate matter and repeat inspection when drug product is withdrawn from vial into syringe.

Campto/LPD/PK03

According to CDS V 15 Dated: 15 September 2019; Supersedes CDS V 14 Dated: 19 July 2018

Marketed by:

Pfizer Pakistan Limited

Please visit our website www.pfizerpro.com.pk for latest version of Product leaflet

7. REFERENCES

1. Dietz AJ, *et al.* A phase II study of irinotecan hydrochloride (CPT-11) in metastatic colorectal carcinoma refractory to previous 5-fluorouracil (5-FU)-based chemotherapy (protocol M/6475/0001). Upjohn Technical Report 7216-95-007, December 1, 1995a.

Rothenberg ML, et al. Phase II trial of irinotecan in patients with progressive or rapidly recurrent colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 1996;14:1128-1135.

2. Dietz AJ, *et al.* A multicenter, phase II study of irinotecan hydrochloride (CPT-11) in metastatic colorectal carcinoma refractory to previous 5-fluorouracil (5-FU)-based chemotherapy (protocol M/6475/0003R). Upjohn Technical Report 7216-95-008, December 1, 1995b.

Pitot HC, et al. Phase II trial of irinotecan in patients with metastatic colorectal carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 1997;15(8):2910-2919.

3. Dietz AJ, *et al.* A multicenter, open-label, phase II study of irinotecan hydrochloride (CPT-11) in patients with 5-fluorouracil (5-FU)-refractory colorectal cancer (protocol M/6475/0006). Upjohn Technical Report 7216-95-010, December 1, 1995c.

Rothenberg ML, et al. A multicenter, phase II trial of weekly irinotecan (CPT-11) in patients with previously treated colorectal cancer. Cancer 1999;85:786-795.

4. Bugat R, Essermeant S. A multicentric, open label phase II trial with irinotecan-hydrochloride (CPT-11) in patients with inoperable advanced colorectal cancer previously treated or not with adjuvant or palliative 5-FU-based chemotherapy (study number CPT 205). Bellon Rhône-Poulenc Rorer Technical Report, November 25, 1994.

Rougier P, et al. Phase II study of irinotecan in the treatment of advanced colorectal cancer in chemotherapy-naïve patients and patients pretreated with fluorouracil-based chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol 1997;15:251-260.

Armand JP, et al. CPT-11 (irinotecan) in the treatment of colorectal cancer. Eur J Cancer 1995;31:1283-1287.

Rougier P, Bugat R. CPT-11 in the treatment of colorectal cancer: clinical efficacy and safety profile. Semin Oncol 1996;23 (1 suppl 3):34-41.
5. Von Hoff DD, et al. Irinotecan (CPT-11) therapy for patients with previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC): overall results of FDA-reviewed pivotal US clinical trials. Proceedings of ASCO 1997; 16:228a [Abstract 803]
6. Blanc Ch, Cote C, Guilloux E, Awad L. Open-label confirmatory multicentre phase II study of irinotecan hydrochloride (CPT-11) in patients with 5-FU-resistant colorectal cancer (study number: RP 64174A-V-222). Bellon Rhône-Poulenc Rorer Technical Report, February 29, 1996.

Shimada Y, et al. Phase II study of CPT-11, a new camptothecin derivative, in metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 1993; 11(5):909-913.
7. Jacques C. A randomized phase III multicenter trial comparing irinotecan hydrochloride trihydrate plus best supportive care to best supportive care alone in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer after failure of treatment with 5-fluorouracil. Rhône-Poulenc Rorer Study Report, Protocol RP64174A V-301, 17 October 1997a.

Cunningham D, et al: Randomised trial of irinotecan plus supportive care versus supportive care alone after fluorouracil failure for patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Lancet 1998; 352:1413-1418.
8. Jacques C, Rougier P, Bleiberg H. A randomized phase III multicenter trial comparing irinotecan hydrochloride trihydrate as single agent to best estimated chemotherapy regimen in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer after failure of 5-fluorouracil-containing regimen (study number RP64174V-302). Rhône-Poulenc Rorer Final Study Report, 3 October 1997b.

Rougier P, et al. Randomised trial of irinotecan versus fluorouracil by continuous infusion after fluorouracil failure in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Lancet 1998;352:1407-1412.
9. Rothenberg ML, et al. Phase II study of irinotecan (CPT-11) 250 mg/m² given every-other-week in previously treated colorectal cancer patients. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1998;17:284a [Abstract 1092].
10. Miller LL, Elfring G. Influence of baseline serum bilirubin level on the likelihood of Grade 3/4 neutropenia during Camptosar™ (irinotecan, CPT-11) therapy for previously treated colorectal cancer. Pivotal trials data update supporting change in package insert. Submitted to the FDA 29 September 1997. Pharmacia & Upjohn Study Report a0017476, November 25, 1997.

11. Miller LL, Schaaf LJ, Petit RG, Murphy TC, Elfring G. Phase I trial to determine the maximum tolerated dose of irinotecan hydrochloride (CPT-11) using a once-every-three-week dosing schedule in patients with advanced solid tumor malignancies. Final Report of the Trial M/6475/0024. Pharmacia & Upjohn Study Report 9850051, March 17, 1998a.
12. Miller LL, Locker P, Schaaf L, Elfring G. Cholinergic symptoms following irinotecan hydrochloride (CPT-11) infusion: Clinical trials update supporting a change in the CAMPTOSAR package insert. Pharmacia & Upjohn Study Report a0010171, March 17, 1998b.
13. Miller LL, Elfring G, Locker P, Compton L. Irinotecan Hydrochloride (CPT-11) pivotal trials in patients with previously treated colorectal cancer: Efficacy response variables and survival data supporting a change in the CAMPTOSAR package insert. Pharmacia & Upjohn Study Report a0010169, March 23, 1998c.
14. Pazdur R, *et al.* Age as a risk factor in irinotecan (CPT-11) treatment of 5-FU-refractory colorectal cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1997, 16:260a [Abstract 921].
15. Petit RG, Rothenberg ML, Mitchell EP, Compton LD, Miller LL. Cholinergic symptoms following CPT-11 infusion in a phase II multicenter trial of 250 mg/m² irinotecan (CPT-11) given every two weeks. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1997; 16:268a [Abstract 953].
16. Saltz LB, *et al.* Weekly irinotecan (CPT-11), leucovorin (LV), and fluorouracil (FU) is superior to daily X5 LV/FU in patients (PTS) with previously untreated metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC). Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1999;18:233a [Abstract 898].
17. Douillard JY, *et al.* A randomized phase III trial comparing irinotecan (IRI) +5FU/folinic acid (FA) to the same schedule of 5FU/FA in patients (pts) with metastatic colorectal cancer (MCRC) as front line chemotherapy (CT). Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1999;18 [Abstract 899].
18. Dietz AJ, Von Hoff DD, Ragual RH, Miller LL. A multicenter, phase II study of irinotecan hydrochloride (CPT-11) in metastatic colorectal carcinoma not previously treated with systemic chemotherapy (protocol M/6475/0003N). Upjohn Technical Report 7216-95-015, December 1, 1995.
19. Dietz AJ, Von Hoff DD, Albert DG, Wolf DL, Locker PK, Miller LL. A phase II, open-label study of irinotecan hydrochloride (CPT-11) in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer not previously treated with chemotherapy or radiotherapy (protocol M/6475/0010). Upjohn Technical Report 7216-95-012, December 1, 1995.

Conti JA, et al. Irinotecan is an active agent in untreated patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 1996;14(3):709-715.
20. Miller LL, *et al.* A phase III, randomized, controlled clinical trial of irinotecan HCl (CPT-11) alone, combined irinotecan HCl and 5-fluorouracil plus leucovorin, and 5-fluorouracil plus leucovorin alone in patients with untreated metastatic colorectal cancer. Document number: a0057133. September 28, 1999.
21. Rougier Ph. A randomised phase III multicentre trial comparing irinotecan hydrochloride trihydrate (CPT-11) in combination with 5-fluorouracil and folinic acid (5-FU/FA) to the same schedule of 5-FU/FA in first line palliative chemotherapy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Study RP64174V-303. March 26, 1999.

22. Nakai H, *et al.* An early phase II study of CPT-11 for primary lung cancer. *Jpn J Cancer Chemother* 1991;18(4):607-612.
 23. Negoro S, *et al.* A phase II study of CPT-11, a camptothecin derivative, in patients with primary lung cancer. *Jpn J Cancer Chemother* 1991;18(6):1013-1019.
 24. Fukuoka M, Niitani H, Suzuki A Y, *et al.* A phase II study of CPT-11, a new derivative of camptothecin, for previously untreated non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 1992;10(1):16-20.
 25. Douillard JY, *et al.* Phase II study of CPT-11 (irinotecan) in non small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1995;14:365 [Abstract 1118].
 26. Baker L, *et al.* Phase II study of irinotecan (CPT-11) in advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1997;16:461a [Abstract 1658].
 27. Masuda N, *et al.* A phase II trial of combination of CPT-11 and cisplatin for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *Br J Cancer* 1998;78(2):251-256.
 28. Mori K, *et al.* Phase II study irinotecan and infusional cisplatin with recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor support in the treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1997; 16:476a [Abstract 1714].
 29. Jagasia M, *et al.* Preliminary results of a multicenter phase II trial of weekly cisplatin (CDDP) and irinotecan (CPT-11) in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1999;18:510a [Abstract 1967].
 30. Ueoka H, *et al.* Fractionated administration of cisplatin (CDDP) and irinotecan (CPT-11) in patients with stage IIIB and IV non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC): a phase II study. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1999;18:525a [Abstract 2026].
 31. Devore R, *et al.* Phase II study of irinotecan plus cisplatin in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 1999;17(9):2710-2720.
- Devore R, *et al.* Irinotecan plus cisplatin in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *Oncology* 1998; 12(8)[sup 6]:79-83.
32. Niho S, *et al.* Randomized multicenter phase III trial of irinotecan (CPT-11) and cisplatin (CDDP) versus CDDP and vindesine (VDS) in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1999;18:492a [Abstract 1897].
 33. Masuda N, *et al.* Randomized trial comparing cisplatin (CDDP) and irinotecan (CPT-11) versus CDDP and vindesine (VDS) versus CPT-11 alone in advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), a multicenter phase III study. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1999;18:459a [Abstract 1774].
 34. DeVore R, *et al.* Phase II trial of irinotecan (CPT-11) plus cisplatin (CDDP) in advanced NSCLC. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1997;16:466a [Abstract 1674].
 35. Persons DA, *et al.* Tumor lysis syndrome and acute renal failure after treatment of non-small-cell lung carcinoma with combination irinotecan and cisplatin. *Am J Clin Oncol* 1998;21(4):426-429.

36. Masuda N, Fukuoka M, Kusunoki Y, Matsui K, Takifuji N, Kudoh S, et al. CPT-11: a new derivative of camptothecin for the treatment of refractory or relapsed small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 1992;10(8):1225-1229.
37. Negoro S, *et al.* Phase II study of CPT-11, new camptothecin derivative, in small cell lung cancer (SCLC). *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1991; 10:241 [Abstract 822].
38. Le Chevalier T, *et al.* A phase II study of irinotecan (CPT-11) in patients (pts) with small cell lung cancer (SCLC) progressing after initial response to first-line chemotherapy (CT). *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1997;16:450a [Abstract 1617].
39. Kudoh S, *et al.* Phase II study of irinotecan combined with cisplatin in patients with previously untreated small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 1998;16(3):1068-1074.
40. DeVore RF, *et al.* Phase II study of irinotecan (CPT-11) in patients with previously treated small-cell lung cancer (SCLC). *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1998;17:451a [Abstract. 1736].
41. Takeuchi S, *et al.* An early phase II study of CPT-11 for gynecologic cancer. *Jpn J Cancer Chemother* 1991;18(4):579-584. [Japanese publication; English abstract].
42. Takeuchi S, *et al.* A late phase II study of CPT-11 on uterine cervical cancer and ovarian cancer. Research groups of CPT-11 in gynecologic cancers. *Jpn J Cancer Chemother* 1991;18(10):1681-1689. [Japanese publication; English abstract].
43. Verschraegen CF, *et al.* Phase II study of irinotecan in prior chemotherapy-treated squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix. *J Clin Oncol* 1997;15(2):625-631.
44. Irvin WP, *et al.* A phase II study of irinotecan (CPT-11) in patients with advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix. *Cancer* 1998;82:328-333.
45. Look KY, *et al.* A phase II trial of CPT-11 in recurrent squamous carcinoma of the cervix: a gynecology oncology group study. *Gynecol Oncol* 1998;70:334-338.
46. Sugiyama T, *et al.* Multicentric phase II trial of irinotecan (CPT-11) and cisplatin as first-line chemotherapy in recurrent or advanced cervical cancer: Japan CPT-11 study group trial. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1998; 17:352a [Abstract 1360].
47. Chevallier B, *et al.* Phase II trial of CPT-11 in advanced cervical carcinoma. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1995; 14:267 [Abstract 737].
48. Kumagai S, *et al.* Combination therapy with irinotecan and cisplatin as neoadjuvant chemotherapy in locally advanced cervical cancer. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1999;18:378a [Abstract 1458].
49. Sugiyama T, *et al.* Is CPT-11 useful as a salvage chemotherapy for recurrent ovarian cancer. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1997;16:378a [Abstract 1347].
50. Ogawa N, Taguchi T. Clinical studies with CPT-11: the Japanese experience. *Ann Oncol* 1992;3(1):118.

51. Sugiyama T, *et al.* Combination of irinotecan hydrochloride (CPT-11) and cisplatin as a new regimen for patients with advanced ovarian cancer. *Nippon Sanka fujinka Gakkai Zasshi* 1996;48:827-834. [Japanese publication; English abstract].
52. Sugiyama T, *et al.* Irinotecan (CPT-11) combined with cisplatin in patients with refractory or recurrent ovarian cancer. *Cancer Letters* 1998;128:211-218.

Sugiyama T, et al. Irinotecan (CPT-11) combined with cisplatin in patients with relapsed or recurrent ovarian cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1996;15:291 [Abstract 796].
53. Katsumata N, *et al.* Phase I trial of irinotecan (CPT-11) and carboplatin (CBDCA) in advanced ovarian cancer. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1999;18:364a [Abstract 1406].
54. Maenpaa J, *et al.* Docetaxel and CPT-11 for recurrent ovarian cancer – a phase II study. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1999;18:363a [Abstract 1403].
55. Sakata Y, *et al.* An early phase II study of CPT-11 in patients with advanced gastrointestinal cancer. *J Jpn Soc Cancer Ther* 1992;27(12):2028-2035 [Japanese publication; English abstract].
56. Futatsuki K, *et al.* Late phase II study of irinotecan hydrochloride (CPT-11) in patients with recurrent or advanced gastric cancer. *Jpn J Cancer Chemother* 1994;21(7):1033-1038 [Japanese publication; English abstract].
57. Kambe M, *et al.* A late phase II study on irinotecan (CPT-11) in patients with advanced gastric cancer. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1993;12:198 [Abstract 584].
58. Shirao K, *et al.* Phase I-II study of irinotecan hydrochloride combined with cisplatin in patients with advanced gastric cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 1997;15(3):921-927.
59. Boku N, *et al.* Phase II study of a combination of CDDP and CPT-11 in metastatic gastric cancer: CPT-11 study group for gastric cancer. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1997;16:264a [Abstract 936].
60. Ajani JA, *et al.* Phase II study of CPT-11 plus cisplatin in patients with advanced gastric and GE junction carcinomas. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1999;18:241a [Abstract 927].
61. Hecht JR, Parson M, Rosen LS. A phase II trial of irinotecan (CPT-11) in patients with adenocarcinoma of the esophagus and gastric cardia. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1999;18:287a [Abstract 1100].
62. Egner JR, *et al.* CPT-11 at 320 mg/m² caused excessive toxicity in patients (pts) with advanced adenocarcinoma (ACA) of the stomach (S) or gastroesophageal junction (GJ); a northern central cancer treatment group trial. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1999;18:282a [Abstract 1084].
63. Ilson D, *et al.* Phase II trial of weekly irinotecan + cisplatin in advanced gastric cancer. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1999;18:259a [Abstract 994].
64. Henning Kohne C, *et al.* Final results of a phase II trial of CPT-11 in patients with advanced gastric cancer. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1999;18:258a [Abstract 993].
65. Yamao T, *et al.* Phase I/II study of irinotecan combined with mitomycin C in patients with advanced gastric cancer. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1999;18:306a [Abstract 1174].

66. Enzinger PC, *et al.* A phase II trial of cisplatin and irinotecan in patients with advanced esophageal cancer. *Proc AM Soc Clin Oncol* 1998;17:282a [Abstract 1085].
67. Ilson D, *et al.* Phase II trial of weekly irinotecan plus cisplatin in patients with advanced esophageal cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 1999;17(10):3270-3275.
- Ilson D, et al. A phase II trial of cisplatin and irinotecan in patients with advanced esophageal cancer. Chemotherapy Foundation symposium XVI: Innovative Cancer Therapy for Tomorrow. New York City. November 11-13, 1998 [Abstract 24].*
68. Taguchi T, *et al.* An early phase II study of CPT-11 (irinotecan hydrochloride) in patients with advanced breast cancer. *Jpn J Cancer Chemother* 1994;21(1):83-90. [Japanese publication; English abstract].
69. Taguchi T, *et al.* A late phase II study of CPT-11 (irinotecan hydrochloride) in patients with advanced breast cancer. *Jpn J Cancer Chemother* 1994;21(7):1017-1024. [Japanese publication; English abstract].
70. Bonnetterre J, *et al.* A phase II study of a new camptothecin analogue CPT-11 in previously treated advanced breast cancer patients. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1993;12:94 [Abstract 179].
71. Ishihara K, *et al.* An early phase II study of CPT-11 (irinotecan hydrochloride) in patients with skin malignancies. *Skin Cancer* 1992;7(3):382-388.
72. Ikeda S, *et al.* A late phase II study of CPT-11 (irinotecan hydrochloride) in patients with squamous cell carcinoma and malignant melanoma. *Skin Cancer* 1993;8(3):503-513.
73. Ohno R, *et al.* An early phase II study of CPT-11 (irinotecan hydrochloride) in patients with hematological malignancies. *Jpn J Cancer Chemother* 1994; 21(1):75-82.
74. Ota K, *et al.* Late phase II study of irinotecan hydrochloride (CPT-11) in the treatment of malignant lymphoma and acute leukemia. *Jpn J Cancer Chemother* 1994; 21(7):1047-1055.
75. Ohno R, *et al.* An early phase II study of CPT-11: a new derivative of camptothecin, for the treatment of leukemia and lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol* 1990;8(11):1907-1912.
76. Wagener DJ, *et al.* Phase II trial of CPT-11 in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer, an EORTC early clinical trials group study. *Ann Oncol* 1995;6:129-132.
77. Sakata Y, *et al.* A late phase II study of CPT-11, irinotecan hydrochloride, in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. *Jpn J Cancer Chemother* 1994;21(7):1039-1046.
78. Friedman HS, *et al.* Irinotecan therapy in adults with recurrent or progressive malignant glioma. *J Clin Oncol* 1999;17(5):1516-1525.
79. National Cancer Institute Cancer Therapy Evaluation Program. Common toxicity criteria manual. Version 2.0, June 1, 1999.

Cancer Therapy Evaluation Program. Common Toxicity Criteria, Version 2.0. DCTD, NCI, NIH, DHHS. March 23, 1998.

80. Rothenberg ML, *et al.* Mortality associated with irinotecan plus bolus fluorouracil/leucovorin: summary findings of an independent panel. *J Clin Oncol* 2001; 19(18):3801-3807.
- Rothenberg ML et al. Deaths associated with irinotecan + bolus 5-fluorouracil/leucovorin: report of an independent panel. Princeton, NJ July 14-16, 2001. Final Report July 25, 2001.*
81. Oncology Drug Advisory Committee (ODAC) Brochure. Therapy of Colorectal Cancer with Combination Regimens of CAMPTOSAR® (Irinotecan, CPT-11), 5-Fluorouracil, and Leucovorin. NDA 20-571, December 6, 2001.
82. Arkhipov A. Supportive document for the preparation of the core data sheet for CAMPTOSAR (irinotecan) regarding postmarketing surveillance. Pharmacia & Upjohn, Corporate Pharmacovigilance, US. September 2, 1999.
83. Orr ST, Aisner J. Performance status assessment among oncology patients: a review. *Cancer Treat Reports* 1986;70(12):1423-1429.
- Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. ECOG Performance Status Scale. March 25, 1998.*
84. Itabashi M. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11: teratology and reproduction studies of CPT-11 (DQ-2805), teratology study in rats. Upjohn Technical Report 7219-94-009, April 11, 1994.
- Itabashi M, et al. Reproduction and developmental toxicity studies of CPT-11 (2nd report). Study on administration of the test substance during the period of organogenesis in rats. The Clinical Report 1990;24(14)7275-7304.*
85. Itabashi M. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11): administered intravenously to pregnant rabbits during the period of fetal organogenesis. Upjohn Technical Report 7219-94-006, April 8, 1994.
- Itabashi M, et al. Reproduction and developmental toxicity studies of CPT-11 (4th report). Study on administration of the test substance during the period of organogenesis in rabbits. The Clinical Report 1990;24(14)7324-7336.*
86. Hakusui H, *et al.* Tissue distribution and placental transfer of [¹⁴C]CPT-11 (U-101440E) following single intravenous administration to pregnant female Wistar rats (Daiichi Study No. AE-1290). Upjohn Technical Report 7256-94-115, December 29, 1994.
- Hakasui H et al. Studies on the metabolic fate of CPT-11 (5): transfer into the fetuses in rats. Yakubutsu Dotai 1991;6(2):151-164.*
87. NDA 20-571 Safety Update Report. March 1996.
88. Ophèle. A. Periodic Safety Update Report (PSUR #9) for Irinotecan for the time period 6 Nov 2000 to 5 Nov 2001. Aventis Pharma, Global Pharmacovigilance & Epidemiology. February 2002.
89. Abigeres D, *et al.* Phase I and pharmacologic studies of the camptothecin analog irinotecan hydrochloride administered every 3 weeks in cancer patients. *J Clin Oncol* 1995;13:210-221.
90. Saltz LB, *et al.* Phase I clinical and pharmacokinetic study of irinotecan, fluorouracil, and leucovorin in patients with advanced solid tumors. *J Clin Oncol* 1996;14(11):2959-2967.

91. Saltz LB, et al. Phase I clinical and pharmacological study of weekly cisplatin combined with weekly irinotecan in patients with advanced solid tumors. *J Clin Oncol* 1998;16(12):3858-3865.
92. Irinotecan (CPT-11) Phase I Study in Refractory Solid Tumor Patients with Hepatic Dysfunction. Pharmacia and Upjohn Final Study Report (Protocol M-6475-0017-SR), 20 January 2004.
93. Phase I Trial of the Administration of CPT-11 in Cancer Patients Suffering from Cancer with Deteriorated Liver Function Tests. Aventis Final Study Report (Protocol RP64174A-V-113 [F-108]), 22 February 2001.
94. Dennequin Henriette M.D. Aventis Clinical Overview, CAMPTO – Irinotecan hydrochloride, Overview of cases of Fatal Interstitial Lung Disease. 18 August 2004.
95. A Phase II Trial of Irinotecan in Children With Refractory Solid Tumors: A Children’s Oncology Group (COG) Study – A Preliminary Report. Pharmacia Clinical Study Report, # 440E-ONC-0020-222; COG Protocol P9761, 12 November 2003.
96. A Phase II “Up-Front Window Study” of Irinotecan (CPT-11) Followed By Multimodal, Multiagent Therapy For Selected Children and Adolescents With Newly Diagnosed Stage 4/Clinical Group IV Rhabdomyosarcoma: An IRS-V Study, A Preliminary Report on the “Up-Front Window” Single-Agent Irinotecan (SAI) Treatment. Pharmacia Clinical Study Report, # 440E-ONC-0020-207; COG Protocol D9802, 27 February 2004.
97. Murry DJ, et al. Influence of Phenytoin on the Disposition of Irinotecan: A Case Report. *Journal of Pediatric Hematology/Oncology* February 2002; 24(2): 130-133.
98. Kuhn JG. Influence of Anticonvulsants on the Metabolism and Elimination of Irinotecan. *Oncology* August 2002; 16 (8 Suppl):33-40.
99. Friedman HS, et al. Irinotecan Therapy in Adults With Recurrent or Progressive Malignant Glioma. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* May 1999; 17(5):1516-1525.
100. Crews KR, *et al.* Altered Irinotecan Pharmacokinetics in Pediatric High-Grade Glioma Patients Receiving Enzyme-inducing Anticonvulsant Therapy July 2002; 8:2202-2209.
101. Mathijssen R HJ, *et al.* Altered irinotecan metabolism in a patient receiving phenytoin. *Anti-Cancer Drugs* 2002;13:139-140.
102. Cloughesy TF, *et al.* Two Studies Evaluating Irinotecan Treatment for Recurrent Malignant Glioma Using an Every-3-Week Regimen. *Cancer Supplement* May 1, 2003; 97(9):2381-2386.
103. Semiond D, Sanderink G. Effect of cytochrome CYP 3A4 inducers/inhibitors on the pharmacokinetics of irinotecan, May 2003.
104. Kehrer D FS, *et al.* Modulation of Irinotecan Metabolism by Ketoconazole. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* July 15, 2002; 20(14):3122-3129.
105. Mathijssen R HJ, *et al.* Effects of St. John’s Wort on Irinotecan Metabolism. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* August 21, 2002; 94(16):1247-1249.

106. Izzo A.A. Drug Interactions with St. John's Wort (*Hypericum perforatum*): a review of the clinical evidence. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 2004; 42(3):139-148.
107. Reyataz™ (atazanavir) package insert. Princeton, NJ: Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; 2003 Jun.
108. Dennequin Henriette M.D. Aventis Clinical Overview, CAMPTO – Irinotecan hydrochloride, Overview of cases of hyponatremia. 18 August 2004.
109. Slichenmyer WJ, *et al.* The current status of camptothecin analogues as antitumor agents. *J Natl Cancer Institute* 1993;85(4):271-91.
110. Maxwell A, Gellert M. Mechanistic aspects of DNA topoisomerases. *Adv Protein Chem* 1986; 38:69-107.
111. Mattem MR, *et al.* Relationship between the intracellular effects of camptothecin and the inhibition of DNA topoisomerase I in cultured L1210 cells. *Cancer Res* 1987; 47: 1793-8.
112. Tanizawa A, *et al.* Comparison of topoisomerase I inhibition, DNA damage, and cytotoxicity of camptothecin derivatives presently in clinical trials. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1994; 86(11):836-42.
113. Creemers GJ, *et al.* Topoisomerase I inhibitors: Topotecan and irinotecan. *Cancer Treat Rev* 1994; 20(1):73-96.
114. Kawato Y, *et al.* Bioactivation of CPT-11 (U-101440E) to the active metabolite SN-38 *in vitro*. Comparison of rat, dog and human tissues. Upjohn Technical Report 7256-94-147, February 15, 1995.
Kawato Y, et al. Production of SN-38, a main metabolite of the camptothecin derivative CPT-11, and its species and tissue specificities. Yakubutsu Dotai 1991; 6(6): 899-907.
115. Kuno A, Hara Y. Conversion of CPT-11 (U-101440E) into SN-38 in human tissues. Upjohn Technical Report 7256-94-121, January 18, 1995.
Kono A, Hara Y. Conversion of CPT-11 into SN-38 in human tissues. Jpn J Cancer Chemother 1991; 18(12): 2175-8.
116. Slatter JG, *et al.* Uptake and metabolism of CPT-11 (U-101440E) by human hepatocytes in primary culture. Upjohn Technical Report 7256-95-095, July 17, 1996.
117. Slatter JG, *et al.* *In vitro* bioactivation of the anticancer agent CPT-11 to SN-38 by human hepatic microsomal carboxylesterases. Upjohn Technical Report 7256-95-090, April 30, 1996.
118. Hosokawa M, Suga T. Metabolic activation of CPT-11 (U-101440E) by hepatic microsome carboxylesterase. Upjohn Technical Report 7256-94-146, January 26, 1995.
Satoh T, et al. Metabolic activation of CPT-11; 7-ethyl-10-[4-(1-piperidino)-1-piperidino]-carbonyloxycamptothecin, a novel antitumor agent, by carboxylesterase. Biol Pharm Bull 1994; 17(5): 662-4.
119. Matsumoto K. Inhibitory effect of camptothecin derivatives on DNA topoisomerase I (Topo I). Upjohn Technical Report 7252-95-011, April 3, 1995.

120. Kawato Y, *et al.* Intracellular roles of SN-38, a metabolite of the camptothecin derivative CPT-11, in the antitumor effect of CPT-11. *Cancer Res* 1991; 51(16):4187-91.
121. Yoshida A, *et al.* DNA damage and cell killing by camptothecin and its derivative in human leukemia HL-60 cells. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 1993; 84(5):566-73.
122. Matsumoto K, *et al.* Antitumor activity of CPT-11 administered intravenously against human tumor xenografts in nude mice. Upjohn Technical Report 7252-95-009, April 3, 1995.
Kawato Y, et al. Antitumor activity of a camptothecin derivative, CPT-11, against human tumor xenografts in nude mice. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 1991; 28(3): 192-8.
123. Matsumoto K, *et al.* Cell-killing kinetics of CPT-11 against a cultured human tumor cell line. Upjohn Technical Report 7252-95-013, April 10, 1995.
124. Yokokura T, Nagata H. Studies on the inhibitory effect of CPT-11 and its related compounds against cell proliferation in culture. Upjohn Technical Report 7252-95-025, April 19, 1995.
125. Yokokura T, Nagata H. Effect of CPT-11 on cell proliferation and cell cycle. Upjohn Technical Report 7252-95-024, April 19, 1995.
126. Mitsui I, *et al.* Final report. *In vitro* growth-inhibitory effect of DQ-2805. Upjohn Technical Report 7252-95-016, April 10, 1995.
127. Mitsui I, *et al.* Final report. *In vitro* study on cell killing action of DQ-2805. Upjohn Technical Report 7252-95-015, April 10, 1995.
128. Aiba K, *et al.* Antitumor effect of SN-38, active form of CPT-11, on human colorectal cancer cell line. *Jpn J Cancer Chemother* 1994; 21(10): 1601-6.
129. Suzuki W, Hakusui H. *In vitro* human protein binding of CPT-11 (DQ-2805). Upjohn Technical Report 7256-94-129, January 23, 1995.
130. Kuhn JG. Pharmacokinetic evaluation of CPT-11 and SN-38 (protocol M/6475/0027; Besselaar protocol GHBA-393B). Upjohn Technical Report 7215-95-030, September 29, 1995.
Rothenberg ML, et al. Phase I and pharmacokinetic trial of weekly CPT-11. J Clin Oncol 1993; 11(11): 2194-204.
131. Rivory LP, *et al.* Kinetics of the *in vivo* interconversion of the carboxylate and lactone forms of irinotecan (CPT-11) and of its metabolite SN-38 in patients. *Cancer Res* 1994; 54(24): 6330-3.
132. Sasaki Y, *et al.* Pharmacological correlation between total drug concentration and lactones of CPT-11 and SN-38 in patients treated with CPT-11. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 1995; 86(1): 111-6.
133. Fujii H, *et al.* Blood kinetics of the lactone form of CPT-11 and SN-38. *Jpn J Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1994; 25(1): 55-6.
134. Gupta E, *et al.* Metabolic fate of irinotecan in humans: correlation of glucuronidation with diarrhea (protocol M/6475/0008). Upjohn Technical Report 7215-95-032, September 25, 1995.

- Gupta E, et al. Metabolic fate of irinotecan in humans: Correlation of glucuronidation with diarrhea. Cancer Res 1994; 54(14): 3723-5.*
135. Donehower RC. Pharmacokinetics of CPT-11 and SN-38: phase I study of irinotecan hydrochloride administered every three weeks in selected patients with carcinoma (protocol M/6475/0026; Besselaar protocol GHBA392B). Upjohn Technical Report 7215-95-033, September 29, 1995.
- Rowinsky EK, et al. Phase I and pharmacological study of the novel topoisomerase I inhibitor 7-ethyl-10-(4-(1-piperidino)-1-piperidino) carbonyloxycamptothecin (CPT-11) administered as a ninety-minute infusion every 3 weeks. Cancer Res 1994; 54(2): 427-36.*
136. Hakusui H, et al. Metabolic fate of CPT-11: phase I study of CPT-11 in cancer patients (study report DM111). Upjohn Technical Report 7215-95-031, September 25, 1995.
- Taguchi T, et al. Phase I clinical study of CPT-11. Jpn J Cancer Chemother 1990; 17(1): 115-20.*
137. Schaaf LJ, et al. Pharmacokinetics of CPT-11 and SN-38: a multicenter, phase II study of irinotecan hydrochloride (CPT-11) in metastatic colorectal carcinoma refractory to previous 5-fluorouracil (5-FU)-based chemotherapy (M/6475/0001). Upjohn Technical Report 7215-95-034, October 23, 1995.
138. Schaaf LJ, et al. Pharmacokinetics of CPT-11 and SN-38: a multicenter, open-label, phase II study of irinotecan hydrochloride (CPT-11) in patients with 5-fluorouracil (5-FU)-refractory colorectal cancer (M/6475/0006). Upjohn Technical Report 7215-95-035, October 24, 1995.
139. Rivory LP, Robert J. Identification and kinetics of a glucuronide metabolite of SN-38 in human plasma after administration of the camptothecin derivative irinotecan. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 1995; 36: 176-9.
140. Lokiec F, et al. Pharmacokinetics of irinotecan and its metabolites in human blood, bile, and urine. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 1995; 36(1):79-82.
141. A Pediatric Phase I and Pharmacokinetic Study of Irinotecan (CPT-11): A Preliminary Report. Pharmacia Clinical Study Report, Protocol 98-6475-178; Texas Children's Cancer Center #H6957, 03 November 2003.
- Kerr JK, Berg SL, Klenke RA, Kline N. A Phase I Study of Irinotecan in Pediatric Patients. Proc Amer Soc Clin Oncol 2000; 19: abst #780.*
142. Ono Y. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11): acute toxicity study of DQ-2805 (CPT-11) in mice and rats. Upjohn Technical Report 7219-94-018, April 11, 1994.
- Ono Y, et al. Acute toxicity study of CPT-11 in mice, rats and dogs. The Clinical Report 1990;24(14): 7185-95.*
143. Ono Y. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11): acute toxicity study of DQ-2805 (CPT-11) in beagle dogs. Upjohn Technical Report 7219-94-020, April 11, 1994.
- Ono Y, et al. Acute toxicity study of CPT-11 in mice, rats and dogs. The Clinical Report 1990; 24(14): 7185-95.*

144. Sekiguchi M. Submitted by Ratke CC, Stout CL. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11): subacute toxicity study of DQ-2805 intravenously administered to rats for 4 weeks. Upjohn Technical Report 7219-94-021, April 11, 1994.
- Sekiguchi M, et al. Four-week subacute intravenous toxicity study of CPT-11 in rats. The Clinical Report 1990; 24(14): 7196-216.*
145. Ono Y. Submitted by Ratke CC, Stout CL. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11): subacute toxicity study of DQ-2805 (CPT-11) intravenously administered to rats for 28 days with recovery tests. Upjohn Technical Report 7219-94-022, April 11, 1994.
146. Takahashi M. Submitted by Ratke CC, Stout CL. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11): A chronic toxicity study of repeated intravenous doses of CPT-11 administered to rats for 6 months with a 1-month recovery period. Upjohn Technical Report 7219-94-007, April 11, 1994.
147. Ono Y. Submitted by Ratke CC, Stout CL. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11): subacute toxicity study of DQ-2805 (CPT-11) intravenously administered to beagle dogs for 2 weeks-comparison with camptothecin. Upjohn Technical Report 7219-94-014, April 11, 1994.
148. Ratke CC, et al. U-101440E: a fourteen-day oral and intravenous dose toxicokinetic study in female beagle dogs. Upjohn Technical Report 7227-94-019, November 14, 1994.
149. Ono Y. Submitted by Ratke CC, Stout CL. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11): subacute toxicity study of DQ-2805 (CPT-11) intravenously administered to beagle dogs for 28 days. Upjohn Technical Report 7219-94-015, April 11, 1994.
- Yoshiyuki O, et al. Four-week subacute intravenous toxicity study of CPT-11 in dogs. The Clinical Report 1990; 24(14): 7219-32.*
150. Harling RJ. Submitted by Ratke CC, Stout CL. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11): intravenous toxicity study in beagle dogs. Upjohn Technical Report 7219-94-016, April 11, 1994.
151. Kamada S. Submitted by Ratke CC, Stout CL. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11): the toxicity of repeated intravenous doses of DQ-2805 (CPT-11) administered to beagle dogs for 26 weeks. Upjohn Technical Report 7219-94-001, 24 February 1995.
152. Inage F. Submitted by Ratke CC, Stout CL. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11): toxicity study in beagle dogs intravenously treated with DQ-2805 (CPT-11) injection-comparison between single and split-dose methods. Upjohn Technical Report 7219-94-017, April 11, 1994.
153. Yoshida T. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11): carcinogenicity study of CPT-11 (DQ-2805) in rats by intravenous, intermittent administration for 104 weeks. Upjohn Technical Report 7219-94-105, September 12, 1994.
154. Shimada H. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11): mutagen study of DQ-2805, reverse mutation assay. Upjohn Technical Report 7219-94-004, April 8, 1994.
- Shimada H, et al. Mutagenicity studies of CPT-11, a new anticancer drug. The Clinical Report 1990; 24(14) 7357-66.*

155. Shimada H. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11): mutagen study of DQ-2805, chromosomal aberration test with mammalian cells in culture (*in vitro cytogenetics*). Upjohn Technical Report 7219-94-005, April 8, 1994.
- Shimada H, et al. Mutagenicity studies of CPT-11, a new anticancer drug. The Clinical Report 1990; 24(14): 7357-66.*
156. Shimada H. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11): mutagenicity study of DQ-2805, micronucleus test. Upjohn Technical Report 7219-94-003, April 8, 1994.
- Shimada H, et al. Mutagenicity studies of CPT-11, a new anticancer drug. The Clinical Report 1990; 24(14): 7357-66.*
157. Itabashi M. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11): teratology and reproduction studies of CPT-11 (DQ-2805), fertility study in rats. Upjohn Technical Report 7219-94-008, April 11, 1994.
- Itabashi M, et al. Reproduction and developmental toxicity studies of CPT-11 (1st report). Study on administration of the test substance prior to and in the early stages of pregnancy in rats. The Clinical Report 1990; 24(14): 7263-74.*
158. Hakusui H, *et al.* Tissue distribution and placental transfer of [¹⁴C]CPT-11 (U-101440E) following single intravenous administration to pregnant female Wistar rats (Daiichi Study No. AE-1290). Upjohn Technical Report 7256-94-115, December 29, 1994.
- Hakusui H, et al. Studies on the metabolic fate of CPT-11 (5): transfer into the fetuses in rats. Yakubutsu Dotai 1991; 6(2): 151-64.*
159. Itabashi M. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11): teratology and reproduction studies of CPT-11 (DQ-2805), teratology study in rats. Upjohn Technical Reports 7219-94-009, April 11, 1994.
- Itabashi M, et al. Reproduction and developmental toxicity studies of CPT-11 (2nd report). Study on administration of the test substance during the period of organogenesis in rats. The Clinical Report 1990;24(14): 7275-304.*
160. Itabashi M. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11): administered intravenously to pregnant rabbits during the period of fetal organogenesis. Upjohn Technical Report 7219-94-006, April 8, 1994.
- Itabashi M, et al. Reproduction and developmental toxicity studies of CPT-11 (4th report). Study on administration of the test substance during the period of organogenesis in rabbits. The Clinical Report 1990; 24(14): 7324-36.*
161. Itabashi M. U-101440 (DQ-2805, CPT-11): teratology and reproduction studies of CPT-11 (DQ-2805) peri- and postnatal study in rats. Upjohn Technical Report 7219-94-002, April 8, 1994.
- Itabashi M, et al. Reproduction and developmental toxicity studies of CPT-11 (3rd report). Study on administration of the test substance during the perinatal and lactation period in rats. The Clinical Report 1990;24(14): 7305-23.*
162. Addendum to the Expert Report on the clinical documentation, in patients with impaired hepatic function. D. Larrey, February, 2001.

163. Ophele, Agnes. Global Pharmacovigilance and Epidemiology (GPE) Safety Review Report for CAMPTO (irinotecan), Anaphylactic/Anaphylactoid reactions, January 15, 2002.
164. McEvoy GK, Ed. Loperamide Hydrochloride. American Hospital Formulary Service (AHFS) 2004 Drug Information Bethesda, MD: American Society of Health-System Pharmacists, 2004.
165. Rothenberg ML, et al. Mortality Associated With Irinotecan Plus Bolus Flurouracil/Leucovorin: Summary Findings of an Independent Panel. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, September, 2001. 19(18): 3801-3807.
166. Anonymous. Irinotecan Hydrochloride Monograph. *Martindale Online – The Complete Drug Reference – Drug Knowledge and Healthcare Series*: Thomson Micromedex Corporate Solutions; 2004; pg. 2.
167. Camus, Philippe M.D, Professor. Adverse pulmonary effects in patients treated with irinotecan. February 1, 2002.
168. Ali, M. Rellos, P., Cox, TM. Hereditary fructose intolerance. *Journal of Medical Genetics*, May 1998. 35(5): 353-65.
169. Cox TM. Aldolase B and fructose intolerance. *FASEB Journal*, January, 1994. 8(1): 62-71.
170. European Commission Guidelines dated July 2003: Excipients in the label and package leaflet of medicinal products for human use (CPMP 263/00 Final).
171. Sanderink, G. Pharmacokinetic Expert Report. February 16, 2001.
172. Fleming, N.W., *et al.* Neuromuscular blocking action of suxamethonium after antagonism of edrophonium, pyridostigmine or neostigmine. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 1996; 77: 492-495.
173. Ophele, Agnes. Global Pharmacovigilance and Epidemiology (GPE) Safety Review Report for CAMPTO (irinotecan), Colitis, January 15, 2002.
174. Periodic Safety Update Report (PSUR) No. 5 for Irinotecan for the time period 06Nov97 to 05May98. Rhone-Poulenc Rorer S.A. July 5, 1998.
175. Periodic Safety Update Report (PSUR) No. 1 for Irinotecan for the time period 01Jan96 to 05May96. Rhone-Poulenc Rorer S.A. July 5, 1996.
176. Periodic Safety Update Report (PSUR) No. 4 for Irinotecan for the time period 06May97 to 05Nov97. Rhone-Poulenc Rorer S.A. January 19, 1998.
177. Ophele, Agnes. Global Pharmacovigilance and Epidemiology (GPE) Safety Review Report for CAMPTO (irinotecan). Review of cases of pancreatitis and pancreatic enzymes, October 2000.
178. Ophele, Agnes. Global Pharmacovigilance and Epidemiology (GPE) Safety Review Report for CAMPTO (irinotecan). Overdose, March 16, 2001.
179. Wolf DL, Cisar L, McGovren JP, Gaylor SK. Irinotecan (CPT-11): Phase 2, open-label, prospective evaluation of age as a risk factor for development of toxicities in subjects with 5-fluorouracil-refractory colorectal cancer. Clinical Study Report for protocol M/6475/0037. Pfizer Inc. 24 May 2005.

180. Wallis N. Clinical Expert Report. Camptosar (irinotecan) Injection and Pancreatitis. Safety and Risk Management. Pfizer Inc. 25 January 2006.
181. CAMPTO[®] (irinotecan hydrochloride injection) SmPC Update. Type II Variation FR/H/108/001-002/II/026. Common Technical Document (CTD) Modules 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. Pfizer, Inc. 06-December-2005.
182. Multicentre Phase III Open Label Randomised Trial Comparing CPT-11 in Combination with a 5-FU/FA Infusional Regimen to the Same 5-FU/FA Infusional Regimen Alone as Adjuvant Treatment of Stage II and III Colon Cancer. Safety Report XRP 4174B-307 (previously RP 64174 V-307). Pfizer, Inc. 21-June-2005.
183. Cumulative Overview of Cardiac Ischemia Cases. CAMPTO IV: AFSSAPS Request April 2005 - Cardiac Ischemia. Pfizer, Inc. 20-June-2005.
184. Sinclair ML, Wallis N. Megacolon Reported With Use Of Irinotecan. Safety Analysis Report. Safety and Risk Management. Pfizer, Inc. 21-April-2006.
185. Prior Approval Supplement. Manufacturing Process: Drug Substance: Change In The Route Of Synthesis Of A Drug Substance. Camptosar[®] Injection (Irinotecan Hydrochloride) (NDA 20-571). Addition Of A New Route Of Synthesis For Irinotecan Hydrochloride Drug Substance (Pfizer Process). Pfizer Global Manufacturing, Pfizer, Inc. May 2005.
186. CTD Module 2.5. Clinical Overview. CAMPTO (Irinotecan), concentrate solution for infusion. EU work sharing project on paediatric data. Pfizer, Inc. 03-April-2006.
187. Oprea C. Phase I Study of Irinotecan in Malignant, Refractory or Relapsed Children' Solid Tumors. Final Study Report RP64174V-107. Aventis Pharma Research and Development. 23-July-2004.
188. A Phase II Study of Irinotecan (CPT-11) in Children and Adolescents with Relapsed or Refractory Rhabdomyosarcoma or Central Nervous System Primitive Neuroectodermal Tumors or Neuroblastoma. Clinical Study Report XRP4174H-241. Aventis Pharma SA. 29-November-2004.
189. CAMPTO[®] (irinotecan hydrochloride injection) SmPC Update. Type II Variation FR/H/108/001-002/II/028. Common Technical Document (CTD) Modules 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. Pfizer, Inc. 12 July 2006.
190. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). General recommendations on immunization: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) and the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP). MMWR 2005; 51 (RR-2): 1-36.
191. Rosenbaum EH, Cohen RA, & Glatstein HR. Vaccination of a patient receiving immunosuppressive therapy for lymphosarcoma. JAMA 1966; 198:737-40.
192. Allison J. Methotrexate and small pox vaccination (letter). Lancet 1968; 2:1250.
193. Hu, Z. *et al.* A Mechanistic Study on altered Pharmacokinetics of Irinotecan by St. John's Wort. Current Drug Metabolism, 2007, 8:157-71.
194. EMEA 2005 Scientific Discussion, <http://www.emea.europa.eu/humandocs/PDFs/EPAR/avastin/17199204en6.pdf> accessed 17 July 2007.

195. Reich L. Clinical Expert Report. Camptosar (irinotecan) Injection and Hiccups. Safety and Risk Management. Pfizer Inc. 13 April 2007.
196. Reich L. Clinical Expert Report. Camptosar (irinotecan) Injection and Hepatic Laboratory Abnormalities. Safety and Risk Management. Pfizer Inc. 11 April 2007.
197. Reich L. Racanelli T. 2.5 Clinical Overview. A Clinical Expert Report to support safety revisions to the irinotecan product label (addition of speech disorders). Safety and Risk Management, Pfizer Inc. May 2009.
198. Van Cutsem E, Kohne CH, Hitre E, et al. Cetuximab and Chemotherapy as Initial Treatment for Metastatic Colorectal Cancer. *N Eng J Med* 2009; 360(14):1408-17.
199. CAMPTO® (irinotecan hydrochloride injection) SmPC Update. Type II Variation FR/108/02/II/37. Common Technical Document (CTD) Modules 1, 2. 13-October-2008.
200. Koopman M, Antonini NF, Douma J, et al. Sequential versus combination chemotherapy with capecitabine, irinotecan, and oxaliplatin in advanced colorectal cancer (CAIRO): a phase III randomized controlled trial. *Lancet* 2007; 370:135-42.
201. Reinacher-Schick AC, Kubicka S, Freier W, et al. Activity of the combination of bevacizumab (Bev) with capecitabine/irinotecan (CapIri/Bev) or capecitabine/oxaliplatin (CapOx/Bev) in advanced colorectal cancer (ACRC): A randomized phase II study of the AIO Colorectal Study Group (AIO trial 0604). *J Clin Oncol* 2008; 26 (May 20 suppl; abstr 4030).
202. Lincoff A, Racanelli T. 2.5 Clinical Overview. A Clinical Expert Report To Support Safety Revisions to the Irinotecan Product Label (Warning/Precaution for Patients With Reduced UGT1A1 Activity). Pfizer Inc. April 2010.
203. Denlinger CS, Blanchard R, Xu L. Pharmacokinetic analysis of irinotecan plus bevacizumab in patients with advanced solid tumors. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2009; 65:97–105.
204. 2.5 Clinical Overview. A Clinical Expert Report to Support Safety Revisions to the Irinotecan Product Label (Camptosar/Avastin Interaction Statement). Pfizer Inc. April 2012.
205. 2.5 Clinical Overview. Coadministration of irinotecan with CYP3A4 and/or UGT1A1 inhibitors. Pfizer Inc. May 2014.
206. 2.5 Clinical Overview. Core Data Sheet (CDS) Update to Section 4.8 – Infection Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs). Pfizer Inc. July 2018.
207. 2.5 Clinical Overview, To Support the Updates to Section 4.6 Fertility, Pregnancy and Lactation and Section 5.3 Preclinical Safety Data of the Core Data Sheet, September 2019.